

Flows with Ratner's property have discrete essential centralizer

Adam Kanigowski, Mariusz Lemańczyk

1st July 2016

Abstract

We show that a free, ergodic action of \mathbb{R} with (finite) Ratner's property has countable discrete essential centralizer. Moreover, we show that such flows are mildly mixing.

1 Introduction

When studying dynamics of horocycle flows acting on the unit tangent spaces of surfaces with constant negative curvature, M. Ratner in 1980's discovered a special property, now called Ratner's property, which is a particular way of divergence of orbits of nearby points. Namely, the speed of such divergence is polynomial and this seems to be a characteristic property for so called *parabolic* dynamics. This property was used by Ratner [17] to prove some important joining rigidity phenomena in the class of horocycle flows (see also [14], [18]). Namely, for every ergodic joining, different from product measure, of an ergodic flow (T_t) acting on a probability standard Borel space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) with a flow having Ratner's property the projection map on the X -coordinate has finite fibers. Moreover, flows with Ratner's property enjoy pairwise independent property (PID), that is, any self-joining (of arbitrary order) which is pairwise independent is just product measure. As noticed in [9], the PID property forces mixing to be mixing of all orders. During the last decade many other flows were shown to enjoy Ratner's property (with some modifications of the original definition but keeping the aforementioned rigidity phenomena). Mixing of all orders of horocycle flows was known before Ratner's work, see [15], but it is only very recently that, via Ratner's property, mixing of all orders was established for some classes of smooth flows on surfaces [1] (see also [13]). Ratner's property itself however does not imply mixing. Indeed, all other known classes of flows satisfying Ratner's property, see [2], [4], [5], [10], [11], [12], are not mixing. On the other hand, all these examples are mildly mixing. As a matter of fact, it was already asked by J.-P. Thouvenot in the 1990's whether Ratner's property is compatible with the rigidity property of flows. More precisely, Thouvenot asked whether we can have a flow $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with Ratner's property acting on a probability standard Borel space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) for which for some $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $f \circ T_{t_n} \rightarrow f$ for each $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. Recall that mild mixing can be defined as the absence of non-trivial rigid factors, so no one of known examples of flows with Ratner's property was rigid.

In this note, we will prove the following results.

Theorem 1. *Assume that a measurable, measure-preserving flow $\mathcal{T} = (T_t)$ is free, ergodic and enjoys Ratner's property. Then its essential centralizer $EC(\mathcal{T}) := C(\mathcal{T})/\{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is discrete and countable.*

As the essential centralizer of rigid flows is uncountable, this in particular, answers Thouvenot's question.

Theorem 2. *Assume that a measurable, measure-preserving flow $\mathcal{T} = (T_t)$ is free, ergodic and has Ratner's property. Then for each $S \in C(\mathcal{T})$ either S is mildly mixing or S is of finite order.*

We have the following immediate corollary (which also answers Thouvenot's question):

Corollary 1.1. *A flow with Ratner's property is mildly mixing.*

2 Basic definitions

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a probability standard Borel space. By $\text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ denote the group of all (measure-preserving) automorphisms of that space. Each element $S \in \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ can also be treated as a unitary operator on $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$: $Sf := f \circ S$. Endowed with the strong operator topology, $\text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ becomes a Polish group.

Throughout, we consider only measurable, \mathbb{R} -representations in $\text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, i.e. flows $\mathcal{T} = (T_t)$ on (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) . Measurability means that the map $X \times \mathbb{R} \ni (x, t) \mapsto T_t x$ is measurable. A flow is called *rigid* if for a sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 1}$, $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(T_{t_n} A \Delta A) = 0 \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

This is equivalent to saying that, as operators on $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, $T_{t_n} \rightarrow Id$ strongly.

Remark 2.1. If $S_n \in \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, $n \geq 1$, and $S_n \rightarrow Id$ strongly on $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, then $S_n \rightarrow Id$ in measure. Indeed, no harm to assume that X is a compact metric space with a metric d . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and cover X by finitely many balls B_1, \dots, B_m of radius ε . Now, given $\delta > 0$, for $n \geq n_0$, we have $\mu(B_i \cap S_n^{-1} B_i) \geq (1 - \delta)\mu(B_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $\mu(\{x \in X : d(x, S_n x) \geq 2\varepsilon\}) < 2m\delta$, and the claim follows.

It follows that if $S_n \rightarrow Id$ strongly on $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, then for a subsequence (n_k) , we have $S_{n_k} \rightarrow Id$ μ -a.e.

Following [6], a flow \mathcal{T} is *mildly mixing* if it has no non-trivial rigid factors, i.e.

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(T_{-t} B \Delta B) > 0 \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{B}, 0 < \mu(B) < 1.$$

Let $C(\mathcal{T}) := \{S \in \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) : ST_t = T_t S \text{ for every } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ denote the *centralizer* of \mathcal{T} and let the *essential centralizer* of \mathcal{T} be defined as

$$EC(\mathcal{T}) = C(\mathcal{T})/\{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then $C(\mathcal{T})$ is closed in $\text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, hence is a Polish group. Moreover, $EC(\mathcal{T})$ is also a group, although its topological properties depend on whether the subgroup $\{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is closed.

Remark 2.2. It \mathcal{T} is rigid then $EC(\mathcal{T})$ is uncountable. This result is folklore but we provide an argument for completeness.

First notice that in a Polish Abelian group G if we have a dense subgroup H then either $H = G$ or H is a set of first category. Indeed, if H is of second category then HH^{-1} contains a neighborhood U of 1. Set $F := \bigcup_{m \geq 0} U^m$ to be the group generated (algebraically) by U . We have $F \subset H$. Now, F is open (in G). But H is a union of cosets of F : $H = \bigcup_{h \in H} hF$, so H is also open. But it is also closed (the complement of H is a union of cosets of H), so H is a clopen subgroup. Since it is dense, $H = G$.

If H is of first category and if $G = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} g_i H$ then G is still of first category which is a contradiction with the fact that G is Polish.

In our context, we use this for $H := \{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \overline{\{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}} =: G$.¹

For joining theory of dynamical systems, we refer the reader to [7].

2.1 Ratner's property

Recall now the notion of (finite) Ratner's property introduced in [2]. This is a weakening of the original Ratner's property introduced in [17] in the context of horocycle flows. Assume that X is a σ -compact metric space with a metric d . Let $(T_t) \subset \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be an ergodic flow.

Definition 2.3. Fix a finite set P such that $0 \notin P$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. (T_t) is said to have the $R(t_0, P)$ -property if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $\kappa = \kappa(\varepsilon) > 0$, $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, N)$ and a set $Z = Z(\varepsilon, N) \subset X$, $\mu(Z) > 1 - \varepsilon$ such that for every $x, y \in Z$, x not in the orbit of y and $d(x, y) < \delta$ there exist $M = M(x, y), L = L(x, y)$, $M, L \geq N$ and $\frac{L}{M} \geq \kappa$ and $p = p(x, y) \in P$ such that

$$\frac{1}{L} |\{n \in [M, M + L] : d(T_{nt_0}x, T_{nt_0+py}) < \varepsilon\}| > 1 - \varepsilon. \quad (1)$$

We say that (T_t) has *Ratner's property* (with the set P) if the set

$$\{s \in \mathbb{R} ; (T_t) \text{ has } R(s, P) \text{ - property}\}$$

is uncountable.

Remark 2.4. 1. If (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is a probability standard Borel space and there is no good metric structure on X then we say that a flow $\mathcal{T} = (T_t) \subset \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ has Ratner's property (with the set P) if there exists a σ -compact metric space (X', d') and a flow $\mathcal{T}' = (T'_t) \subset \text{Aut}(X', \mathcal{B}', \mu')$ which has Ratner's property (with the set P) and the flows \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' are measure-theoretically isomorphic. In such a situation we say that \mathcal{T}' is a good metric model of \mathcal{T} .

2. It is shown in [2] that Ratner's property does not depend on the choice of a good metric model. In particular, Ratner's property does not depend on the choice of metric in Definition 2.3. It follows that Ratner's property can be defined unambiguously for flows defined on probability standard Borel spaces.

¹This argument has been communicated to us by A. Danilenko and replaced our first argument based on the open map theorem for Polish groups together with the fact that a solenoidal group is either \mathbb{R} , or it is compact, or else it is not locally compact.

3. If $(T_t) \subset \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a free ergodic \mathbb{R} -action with (X, d) a σ -compact metric space and all T_t being isometries then \mathcal{T} does not have Ratner's property (e.g. consider the flow $T_t(x, y) = (x + t, x + \alpha t)$ on \mathbb{T}^2 with α irrational).

Remark 2.5. Notice that if $R_t : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is the *linear* flow on the additive circle, i.e. $R_t(x) = x + t \pmod{1}$, then (R_t) satisfies Ratner's property (with any finite set P). Indeed, any two points are in one orbit so Definition 2.3 holds trivially. The group of eigenvalues of this flow is \mathbb{Z} . It is not hard to see that the only ergodic flows which have discrete spectrum and (infinite) cyclic group of eigenvalues are rescalings of the linear flow. Such \mathbb{R} -actions are, up to isomorphisms, all ergodic (non-trivial) not free \mathbb{R} -actions.²

In view of Remark 2.4, from now on, we assume that a flow $\mathcal{T} = (T_t)$ acts on probability standard space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) , where (X, d) is a compact metric space. In view of Remark 2.5, we will assume that the \mathbb{R} -actions under consideration are free.

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1

We begin with the following lemma:

Lemma 2.6. *If $\mathcal{T} = (T_t)$ is ergodic and has Ratner's property then $\{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is open in $C(\mathcal{T})$.*

Notice that Theorem 1 is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 2.6:

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 2.6 it follows that the topological group $EC(\mathcal{T}) = C(\mathcal{T})/\{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is discrete (as $\{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is normal and clopen). But $EC(\mathcal{T})$ is separable (since $C(\mathcal{T})$ is separable). Hence $EC(\mathcal{T})$ is countable. \square

Therefore, it is enough to prove Lemma 2.6. Before we provide its proof, more definitions and observations will be needed. Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_t)$ be an ergodic flow such that T_{t_0} is ergodic. Fix a finite set $P \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Set

$$A_k := \{x \in X : d(x, T_p x) > k^{-1} \text{ holds for } p \in P\}. \quad (2)$$

We have the following:

(P1) $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(A_k) = 1$.

(P2) There exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\kappa > 0$, there exist a set $W_\kappa \subset X$, $\mu(W_\kappa) > 0.99$ and a number $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $M, L \geq N_0$, $L/M \geq \kappa$ and every $x \in W_\kappa$, we have

$$\frac{1}{L} |\{n \in [M, M + L] : T_{nt_0} x \in A_{k_0}\}| \geq 0.99; \quad (3)$$

(P3) Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mu(B) = 1$. For every $\kappa > 0$ there exist a set $V_\kappa \subset X$, $\mu(V_\kappa) > 0.99$ and a number $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $M, L \geq N_1$, $L/M \geq \kappa$ and every $x \in V_\kappa$, we have

$$\frac{1}{L} |\{n \in [M, M + L] : T_{nt_0} x \in B\}| \geq 0.99. \quad (4)$$

²Note that such flows have the minimal self-joining property.

Indeed, notice that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $A_k \subset A_{k+1}$. Let $A := \bigcup_{k \geq 1} A_k$. Then A^c is the set of periodic points for \mathcal{T} with periods belonging to P , so by ergodicity (of \mathcal{T}), it has measure 0. Therefore $\mu(A) = 1$ and by $A_k \subset A_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 1$, we get (P1). To prove (P2) we use (P1) to get the existence of $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(A_{k_0}) \geq 1 - 10^{-4}$. Then (P2) follows by the pointwise ergodic theorem for $\mathbf{1}_{A_{k_0}}$ and T_{t_0} , and then Egorov's theorem which gives a uniform convergence on a set of arbitrarily large measure (in our case this set is W_κ). For (P3), just note that it is a particular case of the same argument used to show (P2) (for a constant sequence of sets).

Assume now that $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C(\mathcal{T})$ and define

$$B_k := \{x \in X : (\exists_{n_k}) d(x, S_n x) < k^{-1} \text{ for all } n \geq n_k\}, \text{ and } B := \bigcap_{k \geq 1} B_k. \quad (5)$$

We have the following:

(P4) If $S_n \rightarrow Id$ μ -a.e., then $\mu(B) = 1$ (note that if we assume only that $S_n \rightarrow Id$ strongly, as operators on $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, then by Remark 2.1, we can replace (S_n) by a μ -a.e. convergent subsequence).

(P5) If $\{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = \emptyset$, then there exists a set X_0 , $\mu(X_0) = 1$, such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in X_0$, we have

$$S_n x \notin \{T_t x : t \in \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (6)$$

Indeed, for (P4) it is enough to notice that for every $k \geq 1$, $\mu(B_k) = 1$. To get (P5) notice that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set

$$X_n := \{x \in X : S_n(x) \in \{T_t x : t \in \mathbb{R}\}\}$$

is measurable: it is the X -projection of the measurable set $\{(x, t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : S_n x = T_t x\}$. Moreover, X_n is \mathcal{T} -invariant, hence by ergodicity, $\mu(X_n) \in \{0, 1\}$. But by assumption, $S_n \notin \{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, hence $\mu(X_n) = 0$. Finally, set $X_0 = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} X_n^c$.

Proof of Lemma 2.6. To show that $\{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is open it is enough to show that for any sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C(\mathcal{T})$ such that $S_n \rightarrow Id$ strongly, there exists n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$, $S_n = T_{t_n}$ for some $t_n \in \mathbb{R}$. We will argue by contradiction. Assume that there exists $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C(\mathcal{T})$ such that $S_n \rightarrow Id$ strongly and

$$\{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \{T_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = \emptyset.$$

By (P5), after restricting to X_0 , we may assume that (6) holds for $x \in X$. Fix a number $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that T_{t_0} automorphism is ergodic. We will show that for every such t_0 , \mathcal{T} does not have the $R(t_0, P)$ property. Since an ergodic flow can have at most countably many non-ergodic time automorphisms, this will finish the proof of Lemma 2.6. Since $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed, we will denote the T_{t_0} automorphism by T .

Fix k_0 from (P2) and let $0 < \epsilon < \min(0.01, k_0^{-2})$ with $\kappa = \kappa(\epsilon)$ coming from the $R(t_0, P)$ -property. Let N_0 come from (P2), N_1 from (P3) (for $B \in \mathcal{B}$ defined in (5), notice that by (P4), $\mu(B) = 1$). Take $N \geq \max(N_0, N_1)$. Let $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, N)$ and a set $Z = Z(\epsilon, N)$,

$\mu(Z) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ be given by the $R(t_0, P)$ -property. Let $k > \max(\delta^{-2}, \epsilon^{-2})$ and set $n = n_k$, where n_k is given by (5). We will show that for every $x \in B \cap W_\kappa \cap V_\kappa$, x and $S_n x$ do not satisfy (1). This means that $(x, S_n x) \notin Z \times Z$. This will finish the proof since by (6), x and $S_n x$ are not in the same orbit of \mathcal{T} , by (5), we have $d(x, S_n x) < k^{-1} < \delta^2 < \delta$ and

$$S_n^{-1}(Z) \cap (B \cap W_\kappa \cap V_\kappa \cap Z) = \emptyset,$$

which yields a contradiction since all the sets in the intersection above have measure at least 0.99.

Fix $x \in B \cap W_\kappa \cap V_\kappa$. Assume that $(x, S_n x) \in Z \times Z$. Then there exist $M, L \geq N$, $L/M \geq \kappa$ such that (1) is satisfied for $x, S_n x$. Let (see (2), (5))

$$W := \{i \in [M, M + L] : T_i x \in A_{k_0} \cap B\}. \quad (7)$$

It follows by (3) and (4) that $|W| \geq \frac{3L}{4}$. By (2) and (5), it follows that for every $i \in W$, we have for $p \in P$ (by the choice of k_0 and k)

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_{i+p}x, T_i(S_n x)) &\geq d(T_{i+p}x, T_i x) - d(T_i x, T_i(S_n x)) = \\ &d(T_{i+p}x, T_i x) - d(T_i x, S_n(T_i x)) \geq k_0^{-1} - k^{-1} \geq \epsilon^{1/2} - \epsilon^2 > \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $|W| \geq \frac{3L}{4}$, (1) is not satisfied for $x, S_n x$. This completes the proof. \square

2.3 Proof of Theorem 2

Proposition 2.7. *If $\mathcal{T} = (T_t)$ is ergodic, has Ratner's property then every (non-trivial) factor $\mathcal{S} = (S_t)$ of \mathcal{T} acts freely and has Ratner's property.*

We will use the following lemma (see [2], Remark 2. and Theorem 5.1.)

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{T} = (T_t)$ be an ergodic flow on a probability standard Borel space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) . If T has Ratner's property, then T is a finite extension of each of its non-trivial factors.*

Now, we prove Proposition 2.7.

Proof of Proposition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{S} = (S_t) : (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ be a factor of \mathcal{T} , where (Y, \mathcal{C}, ν) is probability standard Borel space, and (Y, d_Y) is compact metric space. In view of Lemma 2.8, we can assume that $X = Y \times \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, μ is the product of ν and the normalized counting measure on $\{0, \dots, k-1\}$. Moreover, X becomes a compact metric space with the metric $d(x, x') = d_Y(y, y') + d_k(i, i')$, where $x = (y, i)$, $x' = (y', i')$ and d_k stands for the discrete metric on $\{0, \dots, k-1\}$. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be given by $\pi(y, i) = y$ so that $\pi \circ T_t = S_t \circ \pi$.

Let us first show that the action of (S_t) is free. Suppose that the action of (S_t) is not free. Then, for ν -a.e. $y \in Y$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $S_r y = y$. Let $x \in X$ be such that $\pi(x) = y$. Then, for every $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\pi(T_{nr}x) = S_{nr}(\pi(x)) = S_{nr}(y) = y,$$

so for every $n \geq 1$, we have $T_{nr}x \in \pi^{-1}(y)$. But since the action of (T_t) is free, for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, we have $T_{n_1s}x \neq T_{n_2s}x$ whenever $n_1 \neq n_2$. We would get that $\pi^{-1}y$ is not finite, which is a contradiction.

It remains to show that \mathcal{S} has Ratner's property. Fix a number t_0 such that (T_t) has the $R(t_0, P)$ property. We will show that (S_t) also has the $R(t_0, P)$ property (with the metric d_Y). Fix ε, N and let κ, δ, Z be as in the definition of $R(t_0, P)$ property for (T_t) . If $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough compared to $1/k$, $Z \supset Z_Y \times \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, where $Z_Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\nu(Z_Y) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Notice that any $x, x' \in Z$ such that $d(x, x') < \delta$ are of the form $x = (y, i), x' = (y', i)$ for some $y, y' \in Z_Y$ and such that $d_Y(y, y') < \delta$. Take any $y, y' \in Z_Y$ such that y not in the orbit of y' and $d_Y(y, y') < \delta$. Then, let M, L, p be as in the definition of $R(t_0, P)$ for $x = (y, i)$ and $x' = (y', i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{L} |\{n \in [M, M+L] : d_Y(S_{nt_0}y, S_{nt_0+p}y') < \varepsilon\}| &\geq \\ \frac{1}{L} |\{n \in [M, M+L] : d(T_{nt_0}x, T_{nt_0+p}x') < \varepsilon\}| &\geq 1 - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that \mathcal{S} has the $R(t_0, P)$ property. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.7. \square

Remark 2.9. It follows from [2] that Lemma 2.8 holds without the freeness assumption. By Remark 2.5, also the assertion of Proposition 2.7 holds without the assumption that the action of \mathcal{T} is free.

Now we give the proof of Theorem 2:

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $ST_t = T_tS$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume first that S is not ergodic. Notice that $\mathcal{A} := \{A \in \mathcal{B} : SA = A\}$ is a factor of (T_t) . By Lemma 2.8 it follows that (T_t) can be represented as a skew product over Y (where $Y = X/\mathcal{A}$) with finite fibers. Let us denote this space by $Y \times \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $S \in C(\mathcal{T})$, it follows that S acts on $Y \times \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ as the identity on the first coordinate. It is hence of the form $S(x, i) = (x, \tau_x(i))$, with τ_x being a bijection of $\{0, \dots, k-1\}$. It easily follows that $S^{k!} = Id$.

Assume now that S is ergodic. We will show that S is mildly mixing in this case. Since \mathcal{T} has Ratner's property, it follows that the Kronecker factor (for \mathcal{T}) is trivial. Indeed, if not then by Proposition 2.7 the action on the Kronecker factor is free, so by Remark 2.4, it does not have Ratner's property which is a contradiction with Proposition 2.7. Thus, \mathcal{T} is weakly mixing. Furthermore, since $S \in C(\mathcal{T})$, S is weakly mixing. Suppose that S is not mildly mixing and let \mathcal{F} be a non-trivial rigid factor of it. Then, $S|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is rigid and \mathcal{F} is invariant under all T_t , whence \mathcal{F} is a non-trivial factor for \mathcal{T} . Moreover, $S|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is still weakly mixing, whence all its powers $(S|_{\mathcal{F}})^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are distinct (and in particular $(S|_{\mathcal{F}})^n \neq Id$ for $n \neq 0$). More than that, if for some $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $(S|_{\mathcal{F}})^n = T_{r_n}|_{\mathcal{F}}$, then $\mathcal{T}|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is rigid (since $(S|_{\mathcal{F}})^n$ is rigid) and hence its essential centralizer would be uncountable which is in contradiction with Theorem 1 applied to $\mathcal{T}|_{\mathcal{F}}$, since the latter action has Ratner's property by Proposition 2.7. It follows that

$$(S|_{\mathcal{F}})^k \notin \{T_t|_{\mathcal{F}} : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \text{ for } 0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (8)$$

Since $S|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is rigid, the group $\{T_t|_{\mathcal{F}} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is not open in $C(\mathcal{T}|_{\mathcal{F}})$. This yields a contradiction with Theorem 1. Hence S has no non-trivial rigid factors and so S is mildly mixing. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

2.4 Final remarks

We have proved that for the flows satisfying Ratner's property the essential centralizer is countable (and discrete). We would like to emphasize that this fact is not a consequence of the aforementioned in Introduction finite fiber property of ergodic joinings of flows with Ratner's property. Indeed, it seems to be possible to adapt the construction of a rigid and simple automorphism from [8] to obtain a simple and rigid flow. We have been unable to decide whether the essential centralizer of a flow with Ratner's property can indeed be infinite (in particular, can it contain an element of infinite order). If $\mathcal{H} = (h_t)$ is a horocycle flow then $EC(\mathcal{H})$ is finite, see Corollary 4 in [16]. For another class of flows enjoying Ratner's property, so called von Neumann special flows over irrational rotations (with the rotation of bounded type) the same phenomenon has been proved [2, 3].

References

- [1] B. Fayad, A. Kanigowski, *Multiple mixing for a class of conservative surface flows*, Inventiones Math. **203** (2016), 555-614.
- [2] K. Frączek, M. Lemańczyk, *On mild mixing of special flows over irrational rotations under piecewise smooth maps*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **26** (2006), 719-738.
- [3] K. Frączek, M. Lemańczyk, *Smooth singular flows in dimension 2 with the minimal self-joining property*, Monatshefte Math. **156** (2009), 11-45.
- [4] K. Frączek, M. Lemańczyk, *Ratner's property and mild mixing for special flows over two-dimensional rotations*, Journal of Modern Dynamics **4** (2010), 609-635.
- [5] K. Frączek, M. Lemańczyk, E. Lesigne, *Mild mixing property for special flows under piecewise constant functions*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems **19** (2007), 691-710.
- [6] H. Furstenberg, B. Weiss, *The finite multipliers of infinite ergodic transformations*, Lect. Notes in Math. **668** (1978), 127-132.
- [7] E. Glasner, *Ergodic Theory via Joinings*, vol. 101 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [8] A. del Junco, D. Rudolph, *On ergodic actions whose self-joinings are graphs*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **7** (1987), 531-557.
- [9] A. del Junco, D. Rudolph, *A rank-one, rigid, simple, prime map*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **7** (1987), 229-247.
- [10] A. Kanigowski, *Ratner's property for special flows over irrational rotations under functions of bounded variation.II*, Colloq. Math. **136** (2014), 125-147.
- [11] A. Kanigowski, *Ratner's property for special flows over irrational rotations under functions of bounded variation*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **35** (2015), 915-934.
- [12] A. Kanigowski, J. Kułaga-Przymus, *Ratner's property and mild mixing for smooth flows on surfaces*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems (2015), FirstView.

- [13] A. Kanigowski, J. Kułaga-Przymus, C. Ulcigrai, in preparation
- [14] A. Katok, J.-P. Thouvenot, *Spectral Properties and Combinatorial Constructions in Ergodic Theory*, Handbook of dynamical systems. Vol. 1B, 649–743, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [15] B. Marcus, *The horocycle flow is mixing of all degrees*, Inventiones Math. **46** (1978), 201-209.
- [16] M. Ratner, *Rigidity of horocycle flows*, Annals Math. **115** (1982), 597-614.
- [17] M. Ratner, *Horocycle flows, joinings and rigidity of products*, Annals Math. **118** (1983), 277-313.
- [18] J.-P. Thouvenot, *Some properties and applications of joinings in ergodic theory*, Ergodic Th. and its Connections with Harmonic Anal., London Math. Soc. 1995, 207-235.

Adam Kanigowski, Department of Mathematics, Penn State University; adkanigowski@gmail.com
Mariusz Lemańczyk, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Nicolaus Copernic University; mlem@mat.umk.pl