

# EXCEPTIONAL COMPONENTS OF WILD HEREDITARY ALGEBRAS

BASED ON THE TALK BY NILS MAHRT

Throughout the talk  $k$  will be a fixed algebraically closed field and  $Q$  a wild quiver without oriented cycles.

An indecomposable representation  $X$  of  $Q$  is called regular if  $\tau^n X \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . A regular representation  $X$  of  $Q$  is called quasi-simple if the middle term of the Auslander–Reiten sequence ending at  $X$  is indecomposable. For each regular representation  $X$  of  $Q$  there exists a section path  $X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_m$  in  $\Gamma(Q)$  such that  $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ ,  $X_m = X$ , and  $X_1$  is quasi-simple. In the above situation we write  $X_1[m] := X$ . In this way we obtain a well-defined bijection between the isomorphism classes of regular representations of  $Q$  and the pairs  $(x, m)$ , where  $x$  is an isomorphism class of a quasi-simple representation of  $Q$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ .

A representation  $X$  of  $Q$  is called a brick if  $\text{End}_Q(X) = k$ . A representation  $X$  of  $Q$  is called a stone if  $\text{End}_Q(X) = k$  and  $\text{Ext}_Q^1(X, X) = 0$ .

**PROPOSITION.**

Let  $X$  be a quasi-simple representation of  $Q$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ ,  $m > 1$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $X[m]$  is a brick.
- (2)  $X[m - 1]$  is a stone.
- (3)  $X, \dots, \tau^{-m+1}X$  are pairwise orthogonal stones.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be regular representations of  $Q$ . Baer proved that  $\text{Hom}_Q(X, \tau^r Y) \neq 0$  for all  $r \gg 0$ . Moreover, Kerner proved that  $\text{Hom}_Q(X, \tau^{-r} Y) = 0$  for all  $r \gg 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a regular component of  $\Gamma(Q)$ . We define the quasi-rank  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  by

$$\text{rk}(\mathcal{C}) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \mid \text{rad}(X, \tau^{n+l} X) \neq 0 \text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and quasi-simple } X \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a regular component of  $\Gamma(Q)$  containing a quasi-simple stone  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is called exceptional if

$$\min\{m \in \mathbb{N}_+ \mid \text{Hom}_Q(X, \tau^m X) \neq 0\} < \text{rk}(\mathcal{C}).$$

THEOREM.

There is only a finite number of exceptional components in  $\Gamma(Q)$ .

PROPOSITION (HAPPEL/RINGEL).

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be indecomposable representations of  $Q$ . Assume in addition that  $\text{Hom}_Q(X, \tau Y) = 0$ . If  $f \in \text{Hom}_Q(X, Y)$ ,  $f \neq 0$ , then either  $f$  is a monomorphism or  $f$  is an epimorphism.

PROOF.

Observe that the map  $\text{Ext}_Q^1(\text{Coker } f, X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_Q^1(\text{Coker } f, \text{Im } f)$  induced by  $X \rightarrow \text{Im } f$  is surjective, hence there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \text{Coker } f \rightarrow 0$$

whose push-out along  $X \rightarrow \text{Im } f$  equals

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im } f \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{Coker } f \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{Im } f \oplus Z \oplus Y \rightarrow 0,$$

which splits, since  $\text{Hom}_Q(X, \tau Y) = 0$ . Consequently, either  $\text{Im } f \simeq X$  or  $\text{Im } f \simeq Y$ .

PROPOSITION (UNGER).

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be nonisomorphic stones such that  $\text{Hom}_Q(X, \tau Y) = 0$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a monomorphism and  $C := \text{Coker } f$ , then  $C$  is a brick and

$$\dim_k \text{Hom}_Q(X, Y) = 1 + \dim_k \text{Ext}_Q^1(C, C).$$

PROOF.

We have the exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_Q(Y, -)$  to this sequence we get the sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}_Q^1(Y, Y) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_Q^1(Y, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_Q^2(Y, X) = 0,$$

hence  $\text{Ext}_Q^1(Y, C) = 0$ . Next, applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_Q(-, C)$  we get the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{End}_Q(C) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Hom}_Q(Y, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Q(X, C) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Ext}_Q^1(C, C) \rightarrow 0,$$

hence, in particular,  $\text{Hom}_Q(Y, C) \neq 0$ . Applying once more the functor  $\text{Hom}_Q(Y, -)$  we get the sequence

$$k = \text{Hom}_Q(Y, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Q(Y, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_Q^1(Y, X) = 0,$$

hence  $\dim_k \text{Hom}_Q(Y, C) = 1$ . In particular,  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism and  $\text{End}_Q(C) = k$ . Moreover, it implies that  $\beta$  is also an isomorphism. Finally, we apply the functor  $\text{Hom}_Q(X, -)$  and we get the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{End}_Q(X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Q(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Q(X, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_Q^1(X, X) = 0,$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_Q(X, Y) &= \dim_k \operatorname{End}_Q(X) + \dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_Q(X, C) \\ &= 1 + \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}_Q^1(C, C).\end{aligned}$$

**COROLLARY.**

Let  $X$  be a regular stone. If  $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$  is such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_Q(X, \tau^m X) \neq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Hom}_Q(X, \tau^{m+1} X) = 0$ , then  $\dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_Q(X, \tau^m X) = 1$ .

**PROOF.**

Fix  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_Q(X, \tau^m X)$ ,  $f \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $f$  is a monomorphism. Put  $C := \operatorname{Coker} f$ . It is sufficient to show that  $C$  is preinjective, i.e. there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$  such that  $\tau^{-n} C = 0$ . If this is not the case, then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$  we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tau^{-mn} X \rightarrow \tau^{-m(n-1)} X \rightarrow \tau^{-m(n-1)} C \rightarrow 0,$$

hence  $\dim_k \tau^{-m(n-1)} X > \dim_k \tau^{-mn} X$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ , contradiction.