

COMPLEXITY AND THE DIMENSION OF A TRIANGULATED CATEGORY, II

BASED ON THE TALK BY DAIVA PUCINSKAITE

The talk was based on the paper *Complexity and the dimension of a triangulated category* by Petter Andreas Bergh and Steffen Oppermann.

ASSUMPTION.

Throughout the talk Λ is an Artin algebra over a commutative artinian ring k such that there exists a commutative noetherian graded k -algebra H of finite type with the following properties:

- (1) for each $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ there exists a graded ring homomorphism $\varphi_M : H \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(M, M)$,
- (2) if $M, N \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, then the actions of H on $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(M, N)$ via φ_M and φ_N coincide and $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(M, N)$ is a finitely generated H -module with respect to this action.

NOTATION.

For $X, Y \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ we put

$$A(X, Y) := \{\eta \in H \mid \eta\theta = 0 \text{ for all } \theta \in \text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(X, Y)\}.$$

LEMMA.

If $X, Y \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, then $\gamma(\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(X, Y)) = \gamma(H/A(X, Y))$.

PROOF.

Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_t$ be generators of $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(X, Y)$ over H . Then $A_H(X, Y)$ is the kernel of the map $H \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in [1, t]} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(X, Y)$ given by $\eta \mapsto (\eta\theta_1, \dots, \eta\theta_t)$ and this shows that $\gamma(\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(X, Y)) \geq \gamma(H/A(X, Y))$. The other inequality follows since $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(X, Y)$ is a finitely generated graded $H/A(X, Y)$ -module.

LEMMA.

If $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, then $\sqrt{A(M, \Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda)} = \sqrt{A(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda, M)}$.

PROOF.

Let $\eta \in \sqrt{A(M, \Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda)}$. By easy induction on $\ell(X)$ one shows that $\eta \in \sqrt{A(M, X)}$ for all $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$. In particular, $\eta \in \sqrt{A(M, M)}$, hence $\varphi_M(\eta^n) = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. This immediately implies that $\eta \in \sqrt{A(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda, M)}$. The other inclusion follows similarly.

PROPOSITION.

If $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, then

$$\gamma(\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(M, \Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda)) = \gamma(\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda, M)).$$

PROOF.

Benson showed that $\dim(H/A) = \gamma(H/A)$ for any graded ideal A of H . Consequently, we have the following sequence of equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(M, \Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda)) &= \gamma(H/A(M, \Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda)) \\ &= \dim(H/A(M, \Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda)) \\ &= \dim(H/\sqrt{A}(M, \Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda)) \\ &= \dim(H/\sqrt{A}(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda, M)) \\ &= \dim(H/A(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda, M)) \\ &= \gamma(H/A(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda, M)) \\ &= \gamma(\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda, M)). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY.

Λ is Gorenstein, i.e. $\text{id}_\Lambda \Lambda < \infty$ and $\text{pd}_\Lambda D(\Lambda) < \infty$.

PROOF.

Since $\gamma(\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda, \Lambda)) = \gamma(\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(\Lambda, \Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda)) = 0$, the first part follows. The second part follows similarly.

NOTATION.

We put

$$\text{MCM}(\Lambda) := \{M \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{Ext}_\Lambda^m(M, \Lambda) = 0 \text{ for each } m \in \mathbb{N}_+\}.$$

REMARK.

Since Λ is Gorenstein, $\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)/\mathcal{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda)$ is equivalent to $\underline{\text{MCM}}(\Lambda)$.

LEMMA.

If $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and $n := \text{id}_\Lambda \Lambda$, then $\Omega^n X \in \text{MCM}(\Lambda)$.

PROOF.

This follows since $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^m(\Omega^n X, \Lambda) \simeq \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{m+n}(X, \Lambda)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

LEMMA.

If $n := \text{id}_\Lambda \Lambda$, then $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^m(X, Y) \simeq \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{m+1}(X, \Omega Y)$ for all $m > n$.

PROOF.

It is enough to apply $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, -)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega Y \rightarrow P \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$ with P projective.

COROLLARY.

If $X, Y \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, then

$$\text{cx}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)}(X, Y) = \text{cx}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)}(\Omega^p X, \Omega^q Y)$$

for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$.

LEMMA.

If $X, Y \in \text{MCM}(\Lambda)$, then $\text{Hom}_{\underline{\text{MCM}}(\Lambda)}(X, Y[m]) \simeq \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^m(X, Y)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

PROOF.

It follows by induction since we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow P \rightarrow Z[1] \rightarrow 0$ with P projective for each $Z \in \text{MCM}(\Lambda)$.

COROLLARY.

If $X, Y \in \text{MCM}(\Lambda)$, then

$$\text{cx}_{\underline{\text{MCM}}(\Lambda)}(X, Y) = \text{cx}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)}(X, Y).$$

LEMMA.

Let $n := \text{id}_{\Lambda} \Lambda$ and $C := \Omega^n(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda)$. If $\text{cx}_{\underline{\text{MCM}}(\Lambda)}(K, C) = 0$ for $K \in \text{MCM}(\Lambda)$, then K is a projective Λ -module.

PROOF.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cx}_{\Lambda}(K) &= \text{cx}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)}(K, \Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda) \\ &= \text{cx}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)}(K, C) = \text{cx}_{\underline{\text{MCM}}(\Lambda)}(K, C) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\text{pd}_{\Lambda} K < \infty$. The claim follows since the only modules of finite projective dimension in $\text{MCM}(\Lambda)$ are the projective ones.

THEOREM.

$\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)/\mathcal{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda)$ satisfies the condition (Fgc) and

$$\dim(\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)/\mathcal{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda)) \geq \text{cx}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda) - 1.$$

PROOF.

Recall that $\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)/\mathcal{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda)$ is equivalent to $\underline{\text{MCM}}(\Lambda)$, hence the first claim follows. Let $n := \text{id}_{\Lambda} \Lambda$ and $C := \Omega^n(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda)$. We show that C is a periodicity generator. Indeed, if $\text{cx}_{\underline{\text{MCM}}(\Lambda)}(M, C) = 1$ for $M \in \text{MCM}(\Lambda)$, then we construct a triangle $M \rightarrow M[n] \rightarrow K \rightarrow M[1]$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $K \in \text{MCM}(\Lambda)$ such that $\text{cx}_{\underline{\text{MCM}}(\Lambda)}(K, C) = 0$. According to the previous lemma $K = 0$ in $\underline{\text{MCM}}(\Lambda)$, hence $M \simeq M[n]$. Consequently,

$$\dim(\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)/\mathcal{D}^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda)) \geq \sup\{\text{cx}_{\underline{\text{MCM}}(\Lambda)}(M, C) \mid M \in \text{MCM}(\Lambda)\} - 1.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cx}_{\underline{\text{MCM}}(\Lambda)}(C, C) &= \text{cx}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)}(C, C) \\ &= \text{cx}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)}(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda, \Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda) = \text{cx}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda/\text{rad } \Lambda), \end{aligned}$$

hence the claim follows.