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In our talk $k$ be any field. Let $H$ be a connected hereditary wild algebra. A regular $H$-module $E$ is called elementary if there is not short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow E \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ where $U$ and $V$ are nonzero regular $H$ modules.

Lemma. (a) If $E$ is an elementary module then $\tau^{l} E$ is elementary for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(b) If $E$ is an elementary module then $E$ is quasi-simple and $\operatorname{End}(E)$ is a division algebra.
(c) For $E$ regular the following are equivalent.
(i) $E$ is elementary.
(ii) $\tau^{l} E$ has no proper regular factors for $l \gg 0$.
(iii) $\tau^{-l} E$ has no proper regular submodules for $l \gg 0$.

Theorem (Lükas). Let $H$ be connected wild hereditary algebra. Then the set $\left\{\left(\operatorname{dim} \tau^{i} E\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid E\right.$ elementary $\}$ is finite.

Proof. The proof is based on the following observations. There exists a natural number $N$ such that if $E$ is an elementary module then $\operatorname{dim} \tau^{i} E \leq N$ for some $i$.

Let $k$ be algebraically closed and $H$ be the path algebra of following quiver $\cdot \leftleftarrows \cdot \leftarrow \cdot$. We can use the idea of the proof to calculate elementary modules. Namely, we have that an indecomposable $H$-module $E$ is elementary if and only if $\operatorname{dim} \tau^{i} E=(1,1,0)$ or $\operatorname{dim} \tau^{i} E=(1,2,0)$ for some $i$.

An indecomposable regular $H$-module $E$ is called additively elementary if each short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow E^{r} \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$, where $U$ and $V$ are regular, splits. We know there exist elementary modules which are not additively elementary. Consider the path algebra of quiver

and let $E$ be a quasi-simple $k \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{4}$-module with the dimension-vector $111^{2} 100$. Then $E$ is an elementary $H$-module. However, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow E^{2} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$, with $X$ and $Y$ regular $H$-modules of dimension vectors $111^{3} 100$ and $111^{1} 100$ respectively.

Theorem. Let $E$ be a quasi-simple regular module with $\operatorname{Ext}(E, E)=0$. Then the following are equivalent.
(a) $E$ is elementary.
(b) $E$ is additively elementary.
(c) There exists a natural number $m_{0}$ such that for any regular module $R$ the minimal right approximation $f: \tau^{l} E^{s} \rightarrow R$, with $l \geq m_{0}$, is a monomorphism.
(d) There exists a natural number $m_{0}$ such that for each $l \geq m_{0} \tau^{l} E \oplus M$ is a tilting module for some preinjective module $M$.

Proof. (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) is obvious.
(d) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$. Denote $\tau^{l} E$ by $E^{\prime}$ and consider a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow\left(E^{\prime}\right)^{r} \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ with $U$ and $V$ regular. We apply the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(M,-)$ and we get $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(M, U) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(M, V) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $U$ and $V$ belongs to $M^{\perp}=$ add $E$ and the sequence splits.
(a) $\Rightarrow$ (d). Take $m_{0}$ such that $\tau^{l} E$ has no regular factors and is sincere for $l \geq m_{0}$. Let $l \geq m_{0}$ and $E^{\prime}:=\tau^{l} E$. Then $E^{\prime}$ is faithful, since it is sincere without selfextensions. Let $M$ be a cokernel of a monomorphism $H \rightarrow\left(E^{\prime}\right)^{r}$. Then $T:=E^{\prime} \oplus M$ is a titling $H$-module. Note that the torsion class $\mathcal{T}(T)$ is generated by $E^{\prime}$.

We have to show that $M$ is preinjective. Let $V$ be an indecomposable direct summand of $M$. Assume $V$ is not preinjective. Then $V$ is regular. We have a nonzero map $f: E^{\prime} \rightarrow V$, which has to be a monomorphism, since $E^{\prime}$ has no regular factors. Hence it follows that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(E^{\prime}, V\right)>1$. Let $Q:=$ Coker $f$. Then $Q \in \mathcal{T}$. We use the following lemma.
Lemma (Unger). Let $X$ and $Y$ be nonisomorphic indecomposable modules without selfextensions such that $\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}(Y, X)=0$. Then either we have a monomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ or an epimorphism $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that for $Q:=$ Coker $f$ (respectively $Q:=\operatorname{Ker} g$ ) we have $\operatorname{End}(Q)=K$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}(Q, Q)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)-1$.

According to the above lemma we may assume that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}(Q, Q)>0$, hence $Q$ is regular. Let $\tau_{\mathcal{T}}:=t_{T} \tau_{H}$ be the relative Auslander-Reiten translation, where $t_{T}$ denotes the biggest torsion submodule of a given module. We have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \tau E^{\prime} \rightarrow \tau V \rightarrow \tau Q \rightarrow 0$. Note that $\operatorname{Hom}\left(E^{\prime}, \tau V\right)=\operatorname{Ext}\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)=0$, hence when we apply the functor
$\operatorname{Hom}\left(E^{\prime},-\right)$ we get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(E^{\prime}, \tau Q\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}\left(E^{\prime}, \tau E^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ext}\left(E^{\prime}, \tau V\right)=0$, thus $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(E^{\prime}, \tau Q\right)=1$. Then we have a monomorphism $E^{\prime} \rightarrow \tau Q$, hence $\tau_{\mathcal{T}} Q \simeq E^{\prime}$. If $A:=\operatorname{End}(T)$ then an indecomposable $A$-projective module $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T, E^{\prime}\right)$ have the property $\tau_{A}^{-} \operatorname{Hom}\left(T, E^{\prime}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}(T, Q)$ has selfextensions. However, we have maps from $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T, E^{\prime}\right)$ to all projective $A$-modules hence $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T, E^{\prime}\right)$ has to be preprojective, and this is a contradiction.

An indecomposable regular $H$-module $E$ is called orbital elementary if for each $\widetilde{E}$ in $\operatorname{add}\left(\tau^{i} E \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$ any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow \widetilde{E} \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$, with $U$ and $V$ regular, splits.

Additively elementary modules does not have to be orbital elementary. Let $H$ be path algebra of the quiver $\leftleftarrows \ldots \leftarrow$. and let $U_{1}$ be a regular elementary $H$-module of dimension vector $(1,2,0)$. Then for $U_{2}:=\tau U_{1}$ we have $\operatorname{dim} U_{2}=(3,4,4)$ and we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow U_{1} \oplus$ $U_{2} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$, where $E$ is a regular elementary $H$-module of dimensionvector $(1,1,0)$ and $Q$ is also an elementary module with $\operatorname{dim} Q=(3,5,4)=$ $\operatorname{dim} \tau^{2} E$.

Theorem. Let $C$ be a connected wild hereditary algebra and $M$ an indecomposable regular quasi-simple $C$-module with the property that $C[M]$ is tilted or concealed canonical. Then $M$ is orbital elementary.

Proof. Assume that $C[M]$ is a tilted algebra of type $H$. The proof can be reduced to the following case. There exists a tilting $H$-module $T=X \oplus P$ such that $P$ is the projective generator of $X^{\perp}$ with $\operatorname{End}(P)=C, X$ is quasisimple regular, in the Auslander-Reiten sequence $0 \rightarrow \tau_{H} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ we have that $Z$ a is quasi-simple regular $C$-module and $A=C[Z]$ is tilted of type $H$.

In this situation we can define a functor $F: \operatorname{reg} C \rightarrow \operatorname{reg} H$ by the formula $F(M):=\tau_{H}^{-m} \tau_{\mathcal{T}}^{2 m} \tau_{C}^{-m} M$, where $m \gg 0$. The functor $F$ is full and dense. If $M$ is indecomposable then $F(M)=0$ if and only if $M=\tau_{C}^{i} Z$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also have the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $\eta: 0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{g} W \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence in reg $C$.
(a) We have a commutative diagram

(b) $F(\eta)=0$ if and only if for each $\widetilde{Z} \in \operatorname{add}\left(\tau_{C}^{i} Z\right)$ the morphism $(\widetilde{Z}, g)$ : $(\widetilde{Z}, V) \rightarrow(\widetilde{Z}, W)$ is an epimorphism.
Take now $\left.\widetilde{Z} \in \operatorname{add}(\tau) C^{i} Z\right)$ and a short exact sequence $\eta: 0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow \widetilde{Z} \rightarrow$ $W \rightarrow 0$ with $U$ and $W$ regular. Then $F(\eta)=0$ and it follows from the above theorem that $\eta$ splits.

