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#### Abstract

We deal with Besicovitch's problem of existence of discrete orbits for transitive cylindrical transformations $T_{\varphi}:(x, t) \mapsto(x+\alpha, t+\varphi(x))$ where $T x=x+\alpha$ is an irrational rotation on the circle $\mathbb{T}$ and $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, i.e. we try to estimate how big can be the set $D(\alpha, \varphi):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{T}:\left|\varphi^{(n)}(x)\right| \rightarrow\right.$ $+\infty$ as $|n| \rightarrow+\infty\}$. We show that for almost every $\alpha$ there exists $\varphi$ such that the Hausdorff dimension of $D(\alpha, \varphi)$ is at least $1 / 2$. We also provide a Diophantine condition on $\alpha$ that guarantees the existence of $\varphi$ such that the dimension of $D(\alpha, \varphi)$ is positive. Finally, for some multidimensional rotations $T$ on $\mathbb{T}^{d}, d \geq 3$, we construct smooth $\varphi$ so that the Hausdorff dimension of $D(\alpha, \varphi)$ is positive.


## 1. Introduction

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a minimal homeomorphism of a compact metric space $(X, d)$ and let $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Denote by $T_{\varphi}: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{R}$ the corresponding cylindrical transformation

$$
T_{\varphi}(x, t)=(T x, t+\varphi(x)) .
$$

Then $T_{\varphi}^{n}(x, t)=\left(T^{n} x, t+\varphi^{(n)}(x)\right)$ for every integer $n$, where

$$
\varphi^{(n)}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi(x)+\varphi(T x)+\ldots+\varphi\left(T^{n-1} x\right) & \text { if } & n>0 \\
0 & \text { if } & n=0 \\
-\left(\varphi\left(T^{-1} x\right)+\ldots+\varphi\left(T^{n+1} x\right)+\varphi\left(T^{n} x\right)\right) & \text { if } & n<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Cylindrical transformations appear naturally when studying some autonomous ordinary differential equations on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ or on other non-compact manifolds (cf. 17] and Section (8). Moreover, in the case of circle rotations $T$, cylindrical transformations yield a broad and interesting class of homeomorphisms of the plane. If $T x=x+\alpha$ then every continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defines the homeomorphism $f_{\alpha, \varphi}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, f_{\alpha, \varphi}\left(r e^{2 \pi i \omega}\right)=r e^{\varphi(\omega)+2 \pi i(\omega+\alpha)}$ for $r \geq 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$. The homeomorphism $f_{\alpha, \varphi}$ has a fixed point at zero and restricted to $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ is topologically isomorphic to $T_{\varphi}$ via the map

$$
\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \ni(\omega, r) \mapsto e^{r} e^{2 \pi i \omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}
$$

A surprising property of general cylindrical transformations is that they are never minimal, that is, there are points whose orbits are not dense [5, [16, (see also [13] for a more general non-minimality result in case of homeomorphisms of the cylinder $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ ) and the problem of classifying minimal subsets for such transformations is still open . Clearly, a minimal subset arises if we are given a discrete orbit.

[^0]Recall that a subset $S$ of a topological space is discrete if every point $x \in S$ has a neighborhood $U$ such that $S \cap U=\{x\}$. Moreover, the orbit of $(x, t) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$ for the cylindrical transformation $T_{\varphi}$ is discrete if and only if

$$
\left|\varphi^{(n)}(x)\right| \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }|n| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

If $T$ is uniquely ergodic with $\mu$ the only $T$-invariant measure and if $\int_{X} \varphi d \mu \neq 0$ then by unique ergodicity, $\varphi^{(n)} / n \rightarrow \int \varphi d \mu$ uniformly as $|n| \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore $\left|\varphi^{(n)}(x)\right| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|n| \rightarrow+\infty$ for each $x \in X$, i.e. $\varphi$ is transient, and hence every orbit of $T_{\varphi}$ is discrete. It follows that the partition of $X \times \mathbb{R}$ into orbits of $T_{\varphi}$ yields the decomposition into minimal components. Yet, in one more situation $X \times \mathbb{R}$ is the union of minimal components - it is the case when $\int_{X} \varphi d \mu=0$ and $\varphi(x)=j(x)-j(T x)$ for a continuous function $j: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e. when $\varphi$ is a coboundary; indeed, the minimal components are of the form $\{(x, j(x)+a): x \in X\}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, in this case there are no discrete orbits, in fact, $j$ exists if and only if each orbit of $T_{\varphi}$ is bounded [8].

When we restrict our considerations to $T$ which is a minimal rotation on a compact metric group (the case which is well known to be uniquely ergodic) then we have the following.

Proposition 1 (see [3, [8] or [14). If $\varphi$ is not transient nor $\varphi$ is a coboundary then $T_{\varphi}$ has a dense orbit, i.e. $T_{\varphi}$ is topologically transitive.

Note that, by Proposition 1, it follows that if $T$ is a minimal rotation, $\varphi$ has zero mean and $T_{\varphi}$ has a discrete orbit then $T_{\varphi}$ is automatically topologically transitive.

From now on we will only deal with the transitive case and we assume that $T$ is a minimal rotation on $X$. In this case the set of transitive points is $G_{\delta}$ and dense, however it is always a proper subset of $X \times \mathbb{R}$ since $T_{\varphi}$ is not minimal. This set is usually also large from the measure-theoretic point of view; indeed, if we assume ergodicity of $T_{\varphi}$ (with respect to the product of Haar measure on $X$ and Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ ) then each open subset of $X \times \mathbb{R}$ has positive measure and since $X \times \mathbb{R}$ is second countable, the complement of the set of transitive points has measure zero. Even in case of $T$ a minimal rotation, the problem of classifying possible minimal subsets for the corresponding cylindrical transformations remains open. It is even open in case of irrational rotations on the circle, although in the latter case we would like to emphasize that if $\varphi$ is too smooth then there are no minimal subsets at all. More precisely, if $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of bounded variation then $T_{\varphi}$ has no minimal subset (see [15] and [16]). However, Besicovitch [5] already in 1951 showed that, if we require $\varphi$ to be only continuous, then for $T_{\varphi}$ a minimal subset can exist, namely, despite its topological transitivity $T_{\varphi}$ can have a discrete orbit. The problem of coexistence of dense orbits (topological transitivity) and discrete orbits for cylindrical transformations when $T$ is a minimal rotation is called the Besicovitch problem, and we will call the cylindrical transformation $T_{\varphi}$ Besicovitch if indeed dense and discrete orbits for $T_{\varphi}$ coexist. In the present paper we will deal with the Besicovitch problem for rotations on finite dimensional tori $\mathbb{T}^{d}$.

In Section 2 we show that the phenomenon discovered by Besicovitch 5 - for a particular irrational $\alpha$ there exists a continuous $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $T_{\varphi}$ is transitive and admits discrete orbits - in fact happens for each irrational $\alpha$. In other words, we show that for every irrational $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ there exists a continuous $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such
$T$ is positively minimal then $X$ has to be compact. Take now an arbitrary continuous map $T$ of a locally compact space $X$ and suppose that $M \subset X$ is positively minimal. Then $M$ is locally compact and it follows that either $M$ is a discrete orbit or $M$ is compact. Therefore there are no positively minimal subsets for transitive $T_{\varphi}$ as above - if $M \subset X \times \mathbb{R}$ is positively minimal then it cannot be a discrete orbit and if $M$ is compact then by [8], $\varphi$ is a coboundary and therefore $T_{\varphi}$ is not transitive.
that $T_{\varphi}$ is Besicovitch; note that this implies the existence of Besicovitch cylindrical transformations over each minimal rotation on $\mathbb{T}^{d}, d \geq 2$. Indeed, if $R$ is a rotation on $\mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ such that $T \times R$ is minimal then the cylindrical transformation $(T \times R)_{\bar{\varphi}}$, with $\bar{\varphi}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)$, is Besicovitch if $T_{\varphi}$ is Besicovitch (this follows from Proposition since $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is not transient and since no orbit of $(T \times R)_{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ is bounded, $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is not a coboundary).

In Section 3, for $\alpha$ satisfying some Diophantine conditions, our construction of $\varphi$ is improved and we obtain $\gamma$-Hölder continuous functions $\varphi$ such that $T_{\varphi}$ is Besicovitch (it turns out however that in all our constructions $\gamma<1 / 2$ ). A slight modification of the construction yields $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose Fourier coefficients are $\mathrm{O}(\log |n| /|n|)$; see Section [4. We have already mentioned that in case $\varphi$ is of bounded variation, $T_{\varphi}$ is not Besicovitch. This is one more (direct) consequence of the classical Denjoy-Koksma inequality (see e.g. [11] or 9]); indeed, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi^{\left(q_{n}\right)}(x)\right| \leq \operatorname{Var} \varphi \text { for each } x \in \mathbb{T} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(q_{n}\right)$ is the sequence of denominators of $\alpha$ means in particular that the orbit of each point $(x, t)$ is not discrete (in fact $T_{\varphi}$ has no minimal subsets at all; see [15] and [16]). In [2], an inequality similar to (11) has been proved in $L^{2}$ for functions whose Fourier coefficients are $\mathrm{O}(1 /|n|)$, we have been however unable to decide whether there exists a continuous $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose Fourier coefficients are $O(1 /|n|)$ and which is Besicovitch for some rotation $T x=x+\alpha$. Recently, in [12], for every minimal odometer $T$ the existence of Besicovitch cylindrical transformation has been proved to exist.

We then pass to deal with the Besicovitch problem for minimal rotations on higher dimensional tori $\mathbb{T}^{d}, d \geq 2$. As it was shown by Yoccoz in [19], the DenjoyKoksma inequality does not hold anymore in higher dimensions and one can expect that among smooth cylindrical transformations there are Besicovitch cylindrical maps. Such are indeed shown to exist in Section 7 More precisely, we prove that for every $r \geq 1$ there exist $d \geq 3$, a minimal rotation $T: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{d}$ and $\varphi: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of class $C^{r}$ such that $T_{\varphi}$ is Besicovitch; the construction is based on Yoccoz's method from (19).

Once we know that Besicovitch cylindrical transformations exist for each minimal rotation on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$, another natural problem arises to discuss the size of the set of points whose orbits are discrete. More precisely, we will deal with the set

$$
D(\alpha, \varphi)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{T}^{d}: \lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty}\left|\varphi^{(n)}(x)\right| \rightarrow+\infty\right\}
$$

By our standing assumption of transitivity, $\int \varphi(x) d x=0$ and thus $T_{\varphi}$ is recurrent as an infinite measure-preserving system (see [1] [18), so for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}^{d}$ there exists $k_{n}=k_{n}(x) \rightarrow+\infty$ such that $\varphi^{\left(k_{n}\right)}(x) \rightarrow 0$, hence $D(\alpha, \varphi)$ has zero Lebesgue measure. Moreover, the set of transitive points for $T_{\varphi}$ is $G_{\delta}$ dense, so the set of points whose orbits are discrete is a first category set. Furthermore, this set is equal to $D(\alpha, \varphi) \times \mathbb{R}$, so $D(\alpha, \varphi)$ is a first category subset of $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ (which is dense if it is nonempty). Consequently, $D(\alpha, \varphi)$ is small from both the topological and the measure theoretical point of view. We are interested in the Hausdorff dimension of $D(\alpha, \varphi)$.

If $d=1$ then for almost every $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, using a modification of the construction from Section 2 we built a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with zero mean such that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} D(\alpha, \varphi)>0$. Moreover, we give a lower bound on the Hausdorff dimension related to some Diophantine condition of $\alpha$; see Section 6. We also study the coexistence problem of discrete orbits of different types, more precisely, the size of sets

$$
D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{T}: \lim _{m \rightarrow-\infty} \varphi^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow s_{-} \infty \text { and } \lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow s_{+} \infty\right\}
$$

for $s_{-}, s_{+} \in\{-,+\}$ is investigated. We show that the coexistence of all types of discrete orbits appears for some (transitive) cylindrical transformations; we mention that the same phenomenon was also observed for cylindrical transformations over odometers in [12]. For almost every $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ we construct a class of examples for which $\operatorname{dim}_{H} D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi) \geq 1 / 2$ for every pair $\left(s_{-}, s_{+}\right)$. This gives evidence that for transitive homeomorphisms of the plane the coexistence of orbits with completely different behavior is possible. Indeed, returning to the homeomorphisms of the plane mentioned at the beginning of this section, let us consider $f_{\alpha, \varphi}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then for each $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$ points from the ray $\operatorname{Ray}(\omega)=\left\{r e^{i \omega}: r>0\right\}$ generate orbits of the same type and

- if $\omega \in D^{--}(\alpha, \varphi)$ then each $x \in \operatorname{Ray}(\omega)$ generates a homoclinic orbit attracted by zero;
- if $\omega \in D^{++}(\alpha, \varphi)$ then each $x \in \operatorname{Ray}(\omega)$ generates a discrete orbit;
- if $\omega \in D^{-+}(\alpha, \varphi)\left(D^{+-}(\alpha, \varphi)\right)$ then for each $x \in \operatorname{Ray}(\omega)$ one semi-orbit is attracted by zero and another semiorbit escapes to the infinity.
Therefore there exists a transitive homeomorphism $f_{\alpha, \varphi}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that each of the above orbit type appears and the Hausdorff dimension of the set of the corresponding points is no smaller than $3 / 2$.

In the higher dimensional case, for every $r \geq 1$ we construct $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}^{d}$ and a $C^{r}-$ function $\varphi: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with zero mean such that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} D^{++}(\alpha, \varphi)>0$; see Section 7 .

As an application, in Section 8 we demonstrate a family of continuous (or even Hölder) perturbations of some integrable systems which completely destroys its integrable dynamics. More precisely, the perturbed systems have plenty of orbits which are dense, homoclinic and heteroclinic to limit cycles.

The authors are grateful to the referee for pointing out the references [6] and [15].

## 2. Construction

By $\mathbb{T}$ we will mean the group $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ which most of time will be treated as $[0,1)$ with addition mod 1. By $\{t\}$ we denote the fractional part of $t$ and $\|t\|$ is the distance of $t$ from the set of integers. Denote by $\lfloor t\rfloor$ and $\lceil t\rceil$ the floor and the ceiling of $t$ respectively.

We will show that for each irrational $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ we can construct a continuous $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that the corresponding cylindrical flow $T_{\varphi}$ is Besicovitch, i.e. it is topologically transitive but it has some minimal orbits. By Proposition we only need to construct a continuous function $\varphi$ with integral zero and such that

$$
\varphi^{(n)}(0) \rightarrow+\infty \text { when }|n| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

indeed such a $\varphi$ is neither a coboundary nor transient, so $T_{\varphi}$ must be topologically transitive.

Fix an irrational $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Let $\left(p_{n} / q_{n}\right)$ be the sequence of convergents of $\alpha$, i.e.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{-1}=0, & q_{0}=1,
\end{array} \quad q_{n}=a_{n} q_{n-1}+q_{n-2} \text { for } n \geq 1, ~\left(p_{0}=0, \quad p_{n}=a_{n} p_{n-1}+p_{n-2} \text { for } n \geq 1, ~ \$\right.
$$

where $\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right]$ is the continued fraction of $\alpha$. We have (see e.g. [10])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 q_{n} q_{n+1}}<(-1)^{n}\left(\alpha-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right)=\left|\alpha-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right|<\frac{1}{q_{n} q_{n+1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 q_{n+1}}<\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|<\frac{1}{q_{n+1}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(M_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{n}}{q_{n-1}}<+\infty \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}=M_{n} \cdot \frac{q_{n} q_{n+1}}{q_{n-1}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (6) and (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{L_{n}}{q_{n} q_{n+1}}<+\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define $f_{n}:[0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$which is Lipschitz continuous (with the Lipschitz constant equal to $\left.L_{n}\right), 1 / q_{n}$-periodic, $f_{n}(0)=0$ and $\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|=L_{n}$ for all $x \in[0,1)$ except for the integer multiples of $\frac{1}{2 q_{n}}$. Notice that $f_{n}(y)=L_{n} y$ for $y \in\left[0,1 /\left(2 q_{n}\right)\right)$ and $f_{n}(y)=L_{n}\left(1 / q_{n}-y\right)$ for $y \in\left[1 /\left(2 q_{n}\right), 1 / q_{n}\right]$. Using $1 / q_{n}$-periodicity of $f_{n}$ and (2) for each $x \in[0,1)$ we have

$$
\left|f_{n}(x+\alpha)-f_{n}(x)\right|=\left|f_{n}(x+\alpha)-f_{n}\left(x+\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right)\right| \leq L_{n}\left|\alpha-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right|<\frac{L_{n}}{q_{n} q_{n+1}}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{n}(\cdot+\alpha)-f_{n}(\cdot)\right\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}<\frac{L_{n}}{q_{n} q_{n+1}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows from (7) that the series

$$
\varphi(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{n}(x+\alpha)-f_{n}(x)\right)
$$

converges uniformly, so $\varphi$ is continuous and clearly $\int_{0}^{1} \varphi(x) d x=0$. For each integer $k$ we have

$$
\varphi^{(k)}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{n}(x+k \alpha)-f_{n}(x)\right),
$$

in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{(k)}(0)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(k \alpha) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that $\varphi^{(k)}(0) \rightarrow+\infty$ when $|k| \rightarrow+\infty$. Fix a nonzero integer $k$. There is a unique $n=n(k) \geq 0$ such that $q_{n} \leq|k|<q_{n+1}$. By (2) applied to $n+1$ we have
so

$$
\frac{|k|}{2 q_{n+1} q_{n+2}}<\left|k \alpha-k \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}\right|<\frac{|k|}{q_{n+1} q_{n+2}}<\frac{1}{q_{n+2}},
$$

$$
\left|k \alpha-\frac{k p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}\right|>\frac{q_{n}}{2 q_{n+1} q_{n+2}} .
$$

Moreover.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|k \alpha-\frac{k p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}\right| & <\frac{1}{q_{n+2}}=\frac{1}{q_{n+1}}-\left(\frac{1}{q_{n+1}}-\frac{1}{q_{n+2}}\right)=\frac{1}{q_{n+1}}-\frac{q_{n+2}-q_{n+1}}{q_{n+1} q_{n+2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{q_{n+1}}-\frac{q_{n}}{q_{n+1} q_{n+2}}<\frac{1}{q_{n+1}}-\frac{q_{n}}{2 q_{n+1} q_{n+2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{n+1}$ is $1 / q_{n+1}$-periodic and $f_{n+1}(-x)=f_{n+1}(x)$,

$$
f_{n+1}(k \alpha)=f_{n+1}\left(k \alpha-k \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}\right)=f_{n+1}\left(\left|k \alpha-k \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}\right|\right) .
$$

As

$$
\frac{q_{n}}{2 q_{n+1} q_{n+2}}<\left|k \alpha-\frac{k p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}\right|<\frac{1}{q_{n+1}}-\frac{q_{n}}{2 q_{n+1} q_{n+2}},
$$

by the definition of $f_{n+1}$ and (6),

$$
f_{n+1}(k \alpha) \geq f_{n+1}\left(\frac{q_{n}}{2 q_{n+1} q_{n+2}}\right)=L_{n+1} \cdot \frac{q_{n}}{2 q_{n+1} q_{n+2}}=M_{n+1} / 2
$$

Since all functions $f_{l}$ are nonnegative, it follows that

$$
\varphi^{(k)}(0)=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f_{l}(k \alpha) \geq f_{n+1}(k \alpha) \geq M_{n+1} / 2
$$

which tends to $+\infty$ in view of (4) and of the fact that $n=n(k) \rightarrow+\infty$ when $|k| \rightarrow+\infty$.

## 3. HÖLDER CONTINUITY CONDITION

We need the following simple lemma.
Lemma 2. Let $(X, d)$ be a compact metric space. Let $\left(w_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers with $w_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ such that for every $0<\beta<1$ there exists $D_{\beta}>0$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k}^{\beta} \leq D_{\beta} w_{n}^{\beta} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{w_{k}^{\beta}} \leq \frac{D_{\beta}}{w_{n}^{\beta}} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\varphi(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}(x)$, where $\varphi_{n}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous with a Lipschitz constant $L\left(\varphi_{n}\right)=L_{n}$ such that for some $0<\gamma<1$ we have

$$
L_{n} \leq w_{n}^{1-\gamma} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{C(X)} \leq \frac{1}{w_{n}^{\gamma}} \quad \text { for } n \geq 1
$$

Then $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\gamma$-Hölder continuous.
Proof. Suppose that

$$
\frac{1}{w_{n+1}}<d(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{w_{n}}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\varphi_{k}(x)-\varphi_{k}(y)\right|+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left|\varphi_{k}(x)-\varphi_{k}(y)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} L_{k} d(x, y)+2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left\|\varphi_{k}\right\|_{C(X)} \\
& \leq d(x, y) \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k}^{1-\gamma}+2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{w_{k}^{\gamma}} \\
& \leq D_{1-\gamma} d(x, y) w_{n}^{1-\gamma}+2 D_{\gamma} \frac{1}{w_{n+1}^{\gamma}} \leq C d(x, y)^{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $d(x, y)^{1-\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{w_{n}^{1-\gamma}}$ and $\frac{1}{w_{n+1}^{\gamma}} \leq d(x, y)^{\gamma}$.

Remark 1. Recall that if $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a lacunary sequence, i.e. there exists $A>1$ such that $v_{n+1}>A v_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, then for each $1 \leq k<n$ we have $v_{n}>A^{n-k} v_{k}$, so

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{k}<\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{v_{n}}{A^{n-k}}<v_{n} \frac{A}{A-1}
$$

and (by changing the role of $n$ and $k$ )

$$
\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v_{k}}<\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A^{k-n} v_{n}}<\frac{1}{v_{n}} \frac{A}{A-1}
$$

Note that if $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is lacunary, then for each $0<\beta<1$ also $\left(v_{n}^{\beta}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is lacunary (with $A$ replaced by $A^{\beta}$ ) and therefore the assumption (10) is satisfied in this case.

Let $\left(q_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of denominators of an irrational number $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. Note that

$$
q_{n+2}=a_{n+2} q_{n+1}+q_{n} \geq q_{n+1}+q_{n}>2 q_{n}
$$

Therefore, the sequence $\left(w_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}, w_{n}:=q_{n} q_{n+1}$ is lacunary with $A=2$. It follows that for each $0<\beta<1$ setting $D_{\beta}=2^{\beta} /\left(2^{\beta}-1\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k}^{\beta} \leq D_{\beta} w_{n}^{\beta} \quad \text { and } \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{w_{k}^{\beta}} \leq \frac{D_{\beta}}{w_{n}^{\beta}} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notation. For every $a \geq 1$ denote by $D C(a)$ the set of irrational numbers $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying the Diophantine condition

$$
\left|\alpha-\frac{p}{q}\right| \geq \frac{1}{C|q|^{1+a}} \text { for all } p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0
$$

for some constant $C>0$. Recall that (see [10]) $\alpha \in D C(a)$ if and only if there exists $C>0$ such that $q_{n+1} \leq C \cdot q_{n}^{a}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Moreover, if $a>1$ then $D C(a)$ has full Lebesgue measure.
Theorem 3. Assume that $\alpha \in D C(a)$ for some $a \geq 1$. Then for every $0<\gamma<$ $\frac{1}{(1+a) a}$ there exists a $\gamma$-Hölder continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with zero mean such that the cylindrical transformation $T_{\varphi}: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, T_{\varphi}(x, s)=(x+\alpha, s+\varphi(x))$ is Besicovitch.

Proof. Let $C$ be a positive constant such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n+1} \leq C \cdot q_{n}^{a} \text { for all } n \geq 1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $0<\gamma<\frac{1}{(1+a) a}$ we set

$$
M_{n}=\frac{q_{n-1}}{2\left(q_{n} q_{n+1}\right)^{\gamma}}
$$

Then we construct $\varphi$ in the same manner as in Section 2, By (12),

$$
\frac{q_{n-1}}{\left(q_{n} q_{n+1}\right)^{\gamma}} \geq \frac{q_{n-1}}{C^{\gamma} q_{n-1}^{\gamma a} C^{\gamma(1+a)} q_{n-1}^{\gamma a^{2}}}=\frac{q_{n-1}^{1-\gamma(1+a) a}}{C^{\gamma(2+a)}}
$$

and therefore (4) holds. But $M_{n} / q_{n-1}=1 /\left(2 w_{n}^{\gamma}\right)$ and $\left(w_{n}^{\gamma}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is lacunary, so also (5) is satisfied. Let $\varphi_{n}(x)=f_{n}(x+\alpha)-f_{n}(x)$. Then

$$
L\left(\varphi_{n}\right)=2 L_{n}=2 M_{n} \cdot \frac{q_{n} q_{n+1}}{q_{n-1}}=\left(q_{n} q_{n+1}\right)^{1-\gamma}=w_{n}^{1-\gamma}
$$

and, by (8) and (6),

$$
\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{C(\mathbb{T})} \leq \frac{L_{n}}{q_{n} q_{n+1}}=\frac{M_{n}}{q_{n-1}} \leq \frac{1}{w_{n}^{\gamma}}
$$

By Lemma 2 together with (11), the function $\varphi$ is $\gamma$-Hölder continuous.

## 4. Function of class $\mathrm{O}(\log |n| /|n|)$

Assume that $\alpha \in[0,1)$ is irrational and suppose that $\left(M_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ satisfies (4) and (5). Set $\delta_{m}=\frac{q_{m-1}}{q_{m} q_{m+1}}$. Then $M_{m}=L_{m} \delta_{m}$. Let us consider a piecewise linear function $f_{m}:[0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$which is $1 / q_{m}$-periodic and

$$
f_{m}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{m} x & \text { if } & 0 \leq x \leq \delta_{m} \\
M_{m} & \text { if } & \delta_{m} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{q_{m}}-\delta_{m} \\
L_{m}\left(\frac{1}{q_{m}}-x\right) & \text { if } & \frac{1}{q_{m}}-\delta_{m} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{q_{m}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next, consider $\varphi(x)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{m}(x+\alpha)-f_{m}(x)\right)$. An analysis similar to that in Section 2 shows that $\varphi$ is correctly defined and it is continuous. Moreover, $\varphi^{(k)}(0) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|k| \rightarrow+\infty$. Let $g_{m}:[0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be given by

$$
g_{m}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
x & \text { if } & 0 \leq x \leq q_{m} \delta_{m} \\
q_{m} \delta_{m} & \text { if } & q_{m} \delta_{m} \leq x \leq 1-q_{m} \delta_{m} \\
1-x & \text { if } & 1-q_{m} \delta_{m} \leq x \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore $f_{m}(x)=\frac{L_{m}}{q_{m}} g_{m}\left(q_{m} x\right)$ for $x \in\left[0,1 / q_{m}\right)$ and for $n \neq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{g}_{m}(n) & =\int_{0}^{1} g_{m}(x) e^{-2 \pi i n x} d x=\frac{1}{2 \pi i n} \int_{0}^{1} g_{m}^{\prime}(x) e^{-2 \pi i n x} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i n}\left(\int_{0}^{q_{m} \delta_{m}} e^{-2 \pi i n x} d x-\int_{1-q_{m} \delta_{m}}^{1} e^{-2 \pi i n x} d x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} n^{2}}\left(e^{-2 \pi i n q_{m} \delta_{m}}+e^{2 \pi i n q_{m} \delta_{m}}-2\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi^{2} n^{2}} \sin ^{2} \pi n q_{m} \delta_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{k}$ is $1 / q_{k}$-periodic,

$$
\widehat{f_{k}}(n)=\sum_{j=0}^{q_{k}-1} e^{-2 \pi i n \frac{j}{q_{k}}} \int_{0}^{1 / q_{k}} f_{k}(x) e^{-2 \pi i n x} d x
$$

whence $\widehat{f_{k}}(n)=0$ if $q_{k}$ does not divide $n$ and moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f}_{k}\left(q_{k} s\right) & =q_{k} \int_{0}^{1 / q_{k}} f_{k}(x) e^{-2 \pi i q_{k} s x} d x=q_{k} \int_{0}^{1 / q_{k}} \frac{L_{k}}{q_{k}} g_{k}\left(q_{k} x\right) e^{-2 \pi i q_{k} x} d x \\
& =L_{k} \int_{0}^{1} g_{k}(y) e^{-2 \pi i s y}\left(\frac{1}{q_{k}} d y\right)=\frac{L_{k}}{q_{k}} \widehat{g}_{k}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\varphi}(n) & =\left(e^{2 \pi i n \alpha}-1\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}_{k}(n)=\left(e^{2 \pi i n \alpha}-1\right) \sum_{k \geq 1: q_{k} \mid n} \frac{L_{k}}{q_{k}} \widehat{g}_{k}\left(\frac{n}{q_{k}}\right) \\
& =\left(e^{2 \pi i n \alpha}-1\right) \sum_{k \geq 1: q_{k} \mid n} \frac{L_{k}}{q_{k}} \cdot \frac{-\sin ^{2} \pi n \delta_{k}}{\pi^{2} \frac{n^{2}}{q_{k}^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i n \alpha}}{\pi^{2} n^{2}} \sum_{k \geq 1: q_{k} \mid n} L_{k} q_{k} \sin ^{2} \pi n \delta_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widehat{\varphi}(n)|=|\widehat{\varphi}(-n)|=\frac{2|\sin \pi n \alpha|}{\pi^{2} n^{2}} \sum_{k \geq 1: q_{k} \mid n} L_{k} q_{k} \sin ^{2} \pi n \delta_{k} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\sin \pi x| \leq \pi\|x\| \leq \pi|x| \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \pi x \geq 2 x \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in[0,1 / 2] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. Assume that $\alpha \in[0,1)$ is an irrational number such that

$$
\left(\frac{\log q_{k}}{k q_{k-1}^{2}}\right)_{k \geq 1} \text { is increasing and } \frac{\log q_{k}}{k q_{k-1}^{2}} \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty \text {. }
$$

Then there exists $\left(M_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $M_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ such that for $\varphi$ above we have $\widehat{\varphi}(n)=$ $\mathrm{O}(\log |n| /|n|)$.
Proof. Fix $0<\varepsilon<1$ and let

$$
M_{k}=\min \left(\frac{\log q_{k}}{k q_{k-1}^{2}}, q_{k-1}^{\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

Then $\left(M_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is increasing and $M_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$. Next note that the sequence $\left(\delta_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is decreasing. Indeed, since $q_{k}^{2}<q_{k+1}^{2}<\log q_{k+2}<q_{k+2}$, it follows that

$$
\delta_{k}=\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_{k} q_{k+1}}>\frac{q_{k-1} q_{k}}{q_{k+1} q_{k+2}} \geq \frac{q_{k}}{q_{k+1} q_{k+2}}=\delta_{k+1}
$$

Since $L_{k}=M_{k} / \delta_{k}$, we see that $\left(L_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is increasing. Fix $n>0$ and let $m \geq 0$ be the largest number such that $q_{m}$ divides $n$. Note that if $m=0$, i.e. $q_{k}$ does not divide $n$ for all $k \geq 1$, then $\widehat{\varphi}(n)=0$, so assume that $m \geq 1$.

First suppose that $n \geq q_{m+1}$. Since $m q_{m}^{2} M_{m} \leq(m+1) q_{m}^{2} M_{m+1} \leq \log q_{m+1}$, by (13),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{\varphi}(n)| & \leq \frac{2}{\pi^{2} n^{2}} \sum_{k \geq 1: q_{k} \mid n} L_{k} q_{k} \leq \frac{2 m L_{m} q_{m}}{\pi^{2} n^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 m L_{m} q_{m}}{q_{m+1}} \frac{1}{n}=\frac{2 m M_{m} q_{m}^{2}}{q_{m-1}} \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{\log q_{m+1}}{n} \leq \frac{\log n}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, suppose that $n \leq q_{m+1}$. Since $n=s q_{m}$, by (13), (14) and (31), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{\varphi}(n)| & \leq \frac{2\left\|s q_{m} \alpha\right\|}{\pi^{2} n^{2}} \sum_{k \geq 1: q_{k} \mid n} L_{k} q_{k} \sin ^{2} \pi n \delta_{k} \\
& \leq \frac{2 s}{\pi^{2} q_{m+1} n^{2}}\left(L_{m} q_{m}\left(\pi n \delta_{m}\right)^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} L_{k} q_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\frac{s L_{m} q_{m}\left(\pi n \delta_{m}\right)^{2}}{\pi^{2} q_{m+1} n^{2}}=\frac{s q_{m}}{q_{m+1}} L_{m} \delta_{m}^{2}=\frac{n}{q_{m+1}} M_{m} \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_{m} q_{m+1}} \leq \frac{M_{m} q_{m-1}}{q_{m} q_{m+1}} \leq \frac{1}{q_{m+1}} \leq \frac{1}{n}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{s}{\pi^{2} q_{m+1} n^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} L_{k} q_{k} & \leq \frac{m L_{m-1} q_{m-1} s}{q_{m+1} n^{2}}=\frac{m M_{m-1} q_{m-1}^{2} q_{m} s}{q_{m-2} q_{m+1} n^{2}} \\
& =\frac{m M_{m-1} q_{m-1}^{2}}{q_{m-2} q_{m+1} n} \leq \frac{q_{m}}{q_{m-2} q_{m+1} n} \leq \frac{1}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\widehat{\varphi}(n)=\mathrm{O}(\log |n| /|n|)$.
In this way we have proved the following.
Theorem 5. There exist an irrational rotation $T x=x+\alpha$ and a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the cylindrical transformation $T_{\varphi}$ is Besicovitch and $\widehat{\varphi}(n)=\mathrm{O}(\log |n| /|n|)$.

Remark 3. Note that this is not true that $\widehat{\varphi}(n)=\mathrm{O}(1 /|n|)$. Indeed, take $m \geq 1$ and choose $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q_{m+1} /\left(4 q_{m-1}\right)<s<q_{m+1} /\left(2 q_{m-1}\right)$. Set $n=s q_{m}$. Since

$$
\|n \alpha\|=\left\|s q_{m} \alpha\right\| \leq s\left\|q_{m} \alpha\right\|<1 / 2 \text { and } n \delta_{m}=s \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_{m+1}}<1 / 2
$$

by (15) and (3), it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\sin \pi n \alpha| \geq 2\left\|s q_{m} \alpha\right\|=2 s\left\|q_{m} \alpha\right\|>\frac{1}{4 q_{m-1}} \\
\quad\left|\sin \pi n \delta_{m}\right| \geq 2 n \delta_{m}=2 s \frac{q_{m-1}}{q_{m+1}}>1 / 2
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, from (13),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{\varphi}(n)| & \geq \frac{2|\sin \pi n \alpha|}{\pi^{2} n^{2}} L_{m} q_{m} \sin ^{2} \pi n \delta_{m} \geq \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} n^{2}} \frac{L_{m} q_{m}}{q_{m-1}}=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} n^{2}} \frac{M_{m} q_{m+1} q_{m}^{2}}{q_{m-1}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} n} \frac{M_{m} q_{m+1} q_{m}}{s q_{m-1}^{2}}>\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2} n} \frac{M_{m} q_{m}}{q_{m-1}}>\frac{M_{m}}{2 \pi^{2} n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
|n \widehat{\varphi}(n)| \geq \frac{M_{m}}{2 \pi^{2}} \rightarrow+\infty
$$

## 5. Variants of the construction

Fix an irrational $\alpha \in[0,1)$ and let $\left(q_{k_{n}}\right)$ be a subsequence of the sequence of denominators of $\alpha$ such that all $k_{n}$ are even (or all are odd). Let ( $M_{n}$ ) be a sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{n}}{q_{k_{n-1}}}<+\infty \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}=M_{n} \cdot \frac{q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}}{q_{k_{n-1}}} \text { and } \delta_{n}=\frac{q_{k_{n-1}}}{q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (17) and (18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{L_{n}}{q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}}<+\infty \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define $f_{n}:[0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$a piecewise linear Lipschitz continuous function which $1 / q_{k_{n}}$-periodic and

$$
f_{n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \text { if } & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{\delta_{n}}{8} \\
L_{n}\left(x-\frac{\delta_{n}}{8}\right) & \text { if } & \frac{\delta_{n}}{8} \leq x \leq \frac{\delta_{n}}{2}+\frac{\delta_{n}}{8} \\
\frac{M_{n}}{2} & \text { if } & \frac{\delta_{n}}{2}+\frac{\delta_{n}}{8} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4 q_{k_{n}}}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{2} \\
L_{n}\left(x-\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{n}}}\right)+M_{n} & \text { if } & \frac{1}{4 q_{k_{n}}}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4 q_{k_{n}}}+\frac{\delta_{n}}{2} \\
\frac{3 M_{n}}{2} & \text { if } & \frac{1}{4 q_{k_{n}}}+\frac{\delta_{n}}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2 q_{k_{n}}}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{2}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{8} \\
L_{n}\left(x-\frac{1}{2 q_{k_{n}}}+\frac{\delta_{n}}{8}\right)+2 M_{n} & \text { if } & \frac{1}{2 q_{k_{n}}}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{2}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{8} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2 q_{k_{n}}}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{8} \\
2 M_{n} & \text { if } & \frac{1}{2 q_{k_{n}}}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{8} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2 q_{k_{n}}},
\end{array}\right.
$$

and such that $f_{n}\left(1 / q_{k_{n}}-x\right)=f_{n}(x)$ for all $x \in\left[1 / 2 q_{k_{n}}, 1 / q_{k_{n}}\right]$.


Figure 1. The graph of $f_{n}$

The Lipschitz constant of $f_{n}$ is $L_{n}, f_{n}$ takes only nonnegative values, $f_{n}(0)=0$ and $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{C(\mathbb{T})} \leq 2 M_{n}$. Moreover $f_{n}(-x)=f_{n}(x)$ for all $x \in[0,1)$. Using $1 / q_{k_{n}}-$ periodicity of $f_{n}$ and (2) for each $x \in[0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{n}(x+\alpha)-f_{n}(x)\right| & =\left|f_{n}(x+\alpha)-f_{n}\left(x+\frac{p_{k_{n}}}{q_{k_{n}}}\right)\right| \\
& \leq L_{n}\left|\alpha-\frac{p_{k_{n}}}{q_{k_{n}}}\right|<L_{n} \cdot \frac{1}{q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\left\|f_{n}(\cdot+\alpha)-f_{n}(\cdot)\right\|_{C(\mathbb{T})}<\frac{L_{n}}{q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}}$ and it follows from (19) that the series

$$
\varphi(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{n}(x+\alpha)-f_{n}(x)\right)
$$

converges uniformly, so $\varphi$ is continuous and clearly $\int_{0}^{1} \varphi(x) d x=0$. For each integer $k$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{(k)}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{n}(x+k \alpha)-f_{n}(x)\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4. Suppose additionally that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k_{n+1}}>16 q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1} / q_{k_{n-1}} \text { and } q_{k_{n}-1} \geq 4 q_{k_{n-1}} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q_{k_{n}}}-\frac{1}{q_{k_{n}+1}}=\frac{q_{k_{n}+1}-q_{k_{n}}}{q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}} \geq \frac{q_{k_{n}-1}}{q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}} \geq \frac{4 q_{k_{n-1}}}{4 q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}}=4 \delta_{n} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
F_{n, j}^{++}=\left[-\frac{\delta_{n}}{8}, \frac{\delta_{n}}{8}\right]+\frac{j}{q_{k_{n}}}
$$

and let $F^{++}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=0}^{q_{k_{n}}-1} F_{n, j}^{++}$. In view of (21),

$$
\left|F_{n, j}^{++}\right|=\frac{\delta_{n}}{4}=\frac{q_{k_{n-1}}}{4 q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}}>\frac{4}{q_{k_{n+1}}}
$$

and hence there exist at least two intervals of the form $F_{n+1, l}^{++}$which are included in $F_{n, j}^{++}$. Consequently the set $F^{++}$is uncountable. We will show that if $x \in F^{++}$ then $\varphi^{(n)}(x) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|n| \rightarrow+\infty$.

Assume that $x \in F^{++}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(j_{l}\right)_{l=1}^{\infty}$ of natural numbers such that $x \in F_{l, j_{l}}^{++}$for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x=\frac{j_{l}}{q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}$, where $\left|x_{l}\right| \leq \delta_{l} / 8$. Then

$$
f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)=f_{l}\left(x_{l}+m \alpha\right)-f_{l}\left(x_{l}\right) .
$$

Fix integer $m \neq 0$ and assume that $q_{k_{n-1}} \leq|m|<q_{k_{n}}$. Since $\left|x_{l}\right| \leq \delta_{l} / 8$ and $f_{l} \geq 0$ for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, by the definition of $f_{l}, f_{l}\left(x_{l}\right)=0$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)=f_{l}\left(x_{l}+m \alpha\right)-f_{l}\left(x_{l}\right)=f_{l}\left(x_{l}+m \alpha\right) \geq 0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|m|<q_{k_{n}}$, in view of (2) and (22), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{n}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{n}}}{q_{k_{n}}}\right| & \leq\left|x_{n}\right|+|m|\left|\alpha-\frac{p_{k_{n}}}{q_{k_{n}}}\right| \leq\left|x_{n}\right|+\frac{|m|}{q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}} \\
& \leq \frac{\delta_{n}}{8}+\frac{1}{q_{k_{n}+1}}<\frac{1}{q_{k_{n}}}-2 \delta_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $|m| \geq q_{k_{n-1}}$, in view of (2), we have

$$
\left|x_{n}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{n}}}{q_{k_{n}}}\right| \geq|m|\left|\alpha-\frac{p_{k_{n}}}{q_{k_{n}}}\right|-\left|x_{n}\right| \geq \frac{q_{k_{n-1}}}{2 q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{8}=\frac{\delta_{n}}{2}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{8}=\frac{\delta_{n}}{8}+\frac{\delta_{n}}{4} .
$$

By the definition of $f_{n}$ it follows that

$$
f_{n}\left(\left|x_{n}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{n}}}{q_{k_{n}}}\right|\right) \geq f_{n}\left(\frac{\delta_{n}}{8}+\frac{\delta_{n}}{4}\right)=L_{n} \frac{\delta_{n}}{4}=M_{n} / 4
$$

Therefore, using additionally (23), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}(x+m \alpha)-f_{n}(x) & =f_{n}\left(x_{n}+m \alpha\right)=f_{n}\left(x_{n}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{n}}}{q_{k_{n}}}\right) \\
& =f_{n}\left(\left|x_{n}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{n}}}{q_{k_{n}}}\right|\right) \geq M_{n} / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, using (20) and (23) again,

$$
\varphi^{(m)}(x)=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)\right) \geq f_{n}(x+m \alpha)-f_{n}(x) \geq \frac{M_{n}}{4} .
$$

Remark 5. Suppose additionally that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n+1} \geq 33 M_{n} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove that the set of all $x \in[0,1)$ for which $\varphi^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$ and simultaneously $\varphi^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $m \rightarrow-\infty$ is uncountable.

Set

$$
F_{n, j}^{-+}=\left[-\frac{\delta_{n}}{4}, \frac{\delta_{n}}{4}\right]+\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{n}}}+\frac{j}{q_{k_{n}}}
$$

and let $F^{-+}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=0}^{q_{k_{n}}-1} F_{n, j}^{-+}$. In view of (21),

$$
\left|F_{n, j}^{-+}\right|=\frac{\delta_{n}}{2}=\frac{q_{k_{n-1}}}{2 q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}}>\frac{4}{q_{k_{n+1}}},
$$

and hence there exist at least two intervals of the form $F_{n+1, l}^{-+}$which are included in $F_{n, j}^{-+}$. Consequently the set $F^{-+}$is uncountable. We will prove that if $x \in F^{-+}$ then $\varphi^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\varphi^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $m \rightarrow-\infty$.

Assume that $x \in F^{-+}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(j_{l}\right)_{l=1}^{\infty}$ for natural numbers such that $x \in F_{l, j_{l}}^{-+}$for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x=\frac{j_{l}}{q_{k_{l}}}+\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}$, where $\left|x_{l}\right| \leq \delta_{l} / 4$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)=f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha\right)-f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $l \geq 1$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}-\frac{\delta_{l}}{4}<\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}<\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+\frac{\delta_{l}}{4},
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}\right)=L_{l} x_{l}+M_{l} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $m>0$ and assume that $q_{k_{n-1}} / 4 \leq m<q_{k_{n}} / 4$. Since $k_{l}$ is even, for every $l \geq 1$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}-\frac{\delta_{l}}{4}<\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l} \leq \frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}} .
$$

If additionally $l \geq n$ then, by (2),

$$
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}<\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+\frac{\delta_{l}}{4}+\frac{q_{k_{n}}}{4 q_{k_{l}} q_{k_{l}+1}}<\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+\frac{\delta_{l}}{4}+\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}+1}} .
$$

In view of (22), $\frac{1}{q_{k_{l}+1}} \leq \frac{1}{q_{k_{l}}}-4 \delta_{l}$. It follows that

$$
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}<\frac{1}{2 q_{k_{l}}}-\frac{3 \delta_{l}}{4},
$$

hence

$$
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}} \in\left(1 /\left(4 q_{k_{l}}\right)-\delta_{l} / 4,1 /\left(2 q_{k_{l}}\right)-3 \delta_{l} / 4\right) .
$$

Case 1. Suppose that $l \geq n$ and

$$
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}} \in\left(1 /\left(4 q_{k_{l}}\right)-\delta_{l} / 4,1 /\left(4 q_{k_{l}}\right)+\delta_{l} / 2\right] .
$$

By the definition of $f_{l}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha\right) & =f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}\right) \\
& =L_{l}\left(x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}\right)+M_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (25), (26) and (2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)=f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha\right)-f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}\right) \\
& \quad=L_{l}\left(m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}\right) \geq L_{l} \frac{q_{k_{n-1}}}{8 q_{k_{l}} q_{k_{l}+1}}=M_{l} \frac{q_{k_{n-1}}}{8 q_{k_{l-1}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. Suppose that $l \geq n$ and

$$
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}} \in\left(1 /\left(4 q_{k_{l}}\right)+\delta_{l} / 2,1 /\left(2 q_{k_{l}}\right)-3 \delta_{l} / 4\right) .
$$

By the definition of $f_{l}$,

$$
f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha\right)=f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}\right)=\frac{3}{2} M_{l} .
$$

Moreover, by (26),

$$
f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}\right)=L_{l} x_{l}+M_{l} \leq L_{l} \frac{\delta_{l}}{4}+M_{l}=\frac{5}{4} M_{l}
$$

Therefore, using (25),

$$
f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)=f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha\right)-f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4} M_{l}
$$

In summary, we have $f_{n}(x+m \alpha)-f_{n}(x) \geq M_{n} / 8$ and $f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)>0$ for $l>n$. Moreover, $\left|f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)\right| \leq 2 M_{l}$ for all $l \geq 1$, in particular for $l<n$. By (20) and (24), it follows that

$$
\varphi^{(m)}(x)=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)\right) \geq \frac{M_{n}}{8}-\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} 2 M_{l} \geq \frac{M_{n}}{8}-2 \frac{M_{n}}{32} \geq \frac{M_{n}}{16}
$$

whenever $q_{k_{n-1}} / 4 \leq m<q_{k_{n}} / 4$. Consequently, $\varphi^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$.
Similar argument will show also that $\varphi^{(-m)}(x) \leq-M_{n} / 16$ whenever $x \in F^{-+}$ and $q_{k_{n-1}} / 4 \leq-m<q_{k_{n}} / 4$. Since $k_{l}$ is even, $\left|x_{l}\right| \leq \delta_{l} / 8$ and $m$ is negative, for every $l \geq 1$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m\left(\alpha-\frac{p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}<\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+\frac{\delta_{l}}{4} .
$$

Suppose additionally that $l \geq n$. Since $m>-q_{k_{n}} / 4$, by (21) and (22),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m\left(\alpha-\frac{p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}\right) & >\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}-\frac{\delta_{l}}{4}+\frac{m}{q_{k_{l}} q_{k_{l}+1}}>\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}-\frac{\delta_{l}}{4}-\frac{q_{k_{n}}}{4 q_{k_{l}} q_{k_{l}+1}} \\
& >\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}-\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}+1}}-\frac{\delta_{l}}{4}>\frac{3 \delta_{l}}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}} \in\left(3 \delta_{l} / 4,1 /\left(4 q_{k_{l}}\right)+\delta_{l} / 4\right) .
$$

Case 1. Suppose that $l \geq n$ and

$$
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}} \in\left(1 /\left(4 q_{k_{l}}\right)-\delta_{l} / 2,1 /\left(4 q_{k_{l}}\right)+\delta_{l} / 4\right] .
$$

By the definition of $f_{l}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha\right) & =f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}\right) \\
& =L_{l}\left(x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}\right)+M_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (25), (26) and (2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)=f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha\right)-f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}\right) \\
& \quad=L_{l} m\left(\alpha-\frac{p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}\right) \leq-L_{l} \frac{q_{k_{n-1}}}{8 q_{k_{l}} q_{k_{l}+1}}=-M_{l} \frac{q_{k_{n-1}}}{8 q_{k_{l-1}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. Suppose that $l \geq n$ and

$$
\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}} \in\left(3 \delta_{l} / 4,1 /\left(4 q_{k_{l}}\right)-\delta_{l} / 2\right) .
$$

By the definition of $f_{l}$,

$$
f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha\right)=f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha-\frac{m p_{k_{l}}}{q_{k_{l}}}\right)=M_{l} / 2
$$

Moreover, by (26),

$$
f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}\right)=L_{l} x_{l}+M_{l} \geq-L_{l} \frac{\delta_{l}}{4}+M_{l}=\frac{3}{4} M_{l} .
$$

Therefore, using (25),

$$
f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)=f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}+m \alpha\right)-f_{l}\left(\frac{1}{4 q_{k_{l}}}+x_{l}\right) \leq-\frac{1}{4} M_{l} .
$$

In summary, we have $f_{n}(x+m \alpha)-f_{n}(x) \leq-M_{n} / 8$ and $f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)<0$ for $l>n$. Moreover, $f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x) \leq 2 M_{l}$ for all $l \geq 1$. By (20) and (24), it follows that

$$
\varphi^{(m)}(x)=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)\right) \leq-\frac{M_{n}}{8}+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} 2 M_{l} \leq-\frac{M_{n}}{8}+2 \frac{M_{n}}{32} \leq-\frac{M_{n}}{16}
$$

whenever $q_{k_{n-1}} / 4 \leq-m<q_{k_{n}} / 4$. Consequently, $\varphi^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $m \rightarrow-\infty$.
Remark 6. In a similar way we can prove that the sets

$$
F^{--}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=0}^{q_{k_{n}}-1}\left(\left[-\frac{\delta_{n}}{8}, \frac{\delta_{n}}{8}\right]+\frac{1}{2 q_{k_{n}}}+\frac{j}{q_{k_{n}}}\right)
$$

and

$$
F^{+-}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=0}^{q_{k_{n}}-1}\left(\left[-\frac{\delta_{n}}{4}, \frac{\delta_{n}}{4}\right]+\frac{3}{4 q_{k_{n}}}+\frac{j}{q_{k_{n}}}\right)
$$

are uncountable and $x \in F^{--}$implies $\varphi^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $|m| \rightarrow+\infty$ and $x \in F^{+-}$ implies $\varphi^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $m \rightarrow-\infty$ and $\varphi^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proposition 6. For every irrational $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ there exists a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with zero mean such that the set $D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi)$ is uncountable for every $s_{-}, s_{+} \in\{-,+\}$.

In the next section, under some additional assumptions on $\alpha$, we will show that the sets $D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi)$ may have positive Hausdorff dimension.

## 6. Hausdorff dimension of $D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi)$

Let $\left(E_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ be a sequence of subsets of $[0,1]$ such that each $E_{k}$ is a union of a finite number of disjoint closed intervals (called $k$-th level basic intervals). Suppose that each interval of $E_{k-1}$ includes at least $m_{k} \geq 2$ intervals of $E_{k}$, and the maximum length of $k$-th level intervals tends to zero as $k \rightarrow+\infty$. Let us consider the set

$$
F=\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} E_{k} .
$$

We will use the following criterion to estimate the Hausdorff dimension from below.
Proposition 7 (see Example 4.6 in [7] and its proof). Suppose that the $k$-th level intervals are separated by gaps of length at least $\varepsilon_{k}$ so that $\varepsilon_{k} \geq \varepsilon_{k+1}>0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} F \geq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(m_{1} \ldots m_{k-1}\right)}{-\log \left(m_{k} \varepsilon_{k}\right)}
$$

Remark 7. Let us consider two intervals $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$ of length $a$ and $b$ respectively and let $h>0$. Suppose that $a<h<b$. Then there exist at least $\left\lfloor\frac{b-a}{h}\right\rfloor$ intervals of the form $A+k h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ included in $B$. Moreover, if $b \geq 4 h$ then $\left\lfloor\frac{b-a}{h}\right\rfloor \geq \frac{b}{4 h}$.

Fix $s_{+}, s_{-} \in\{+,-\}$ and let

$$
E_{n}^{s_{-} s_{+}}:=\bigcup_{j=0}^{q_{k_{n}}-1} F_{n, j}^{s_{-} s_{+}}=\bigcup_{j=0}^{q_{k_{n}}-1}\left(F_{n, 0}^{s_{-} s_{+}}+\frac{j}{q_{k_{n}}}\right)
$$

Then $F^{s_{-} s_{+}}=\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n}^{s_{-} s_{+}}$. As we have already noticed

$$
\left|F_{n, j}^{s_{-} s_{+}}\right| \geq \delta_{n} / 4 \geq \frac{4}{q_{k_{n+1}}} \text { and } \frac{1}{q_{k_{n+1}}} \geq \delta_{n+1} \geq\left|F_{n+1, l}^{s_{-} s_{+}}\right|
$$

so $\left|F_{n, j}^{s-s_{+}}\right| \geq 4 h$ and $h \geq\left|F_{n+1, l}^{s-s_{+}}\right|$, where $h=1 / q_{k_{n}+1}$, and then by Remark 7 ,

$$
m_{n+1} \geq\left\lfloor\frac{\left|F_{n, j}^{s_{-} s_{+}}\right|-\left|F_{n+1, l}^{s_{-} s_{+}}\right|}{h}\right\rfloor \geq \frac{\left|F_{n, j}^{s_{-} s_{+}}\right|}{4 h} \geq \frac{\delta_{n} q_{k_{n+1}}}{16}=\frac{q_{k_{n-1}} q_{k_{n+1}}}{16 q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\varepsilon_{n}=\frac{1}{q_{k_{n}}}-\left|F_{n, 0}^{s_{-} s_{+}}\right| \geq \frac{1}{q_{k_{n}}}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2 q_{k_{n}}} .
$$

It follows that

$$
m_{1} \ldots m_{n-1} \geq m_{n-1} \geq \frac{q_{k_{n-3}} q_{k_{n-1}}}{16 q_{k_{n-2}} q_{k_{n-2}+1}} \geq \frac{q_{k_{n-1}}}{16 q_{k_{n-2}} q_{k_{n-2}+1}}
$$

Moreover,

$$
m_{n} \varepsilon_{n} \geq \frac{q_{k_{n-2}} q_{k_{n}}}{16 q_{k_{n-1}} q_{k_{n-1}+1}} \frac{1}{2 q_{k_{n}}}=\frac{q_{k_{n-2}}}{32 q_{k_{n-1}} q_{k_{n-1}+1}}>\frac{1}{32 q_{k_{n-1}} q_{k_{n-1}+1}}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log \left(m_{1} \ldots m_{n-1}\right)}{-\log \left(m_{n} \varepsilon_{n}\right)} \geq \frac{\log q_{k_{n-1}}-\log 16-\log q_{k_{n-2}}-\log q_{k_{n-2}+1}}{\log q_{k_{n-1}}+\log q_{k_{n-1}+1}+\log 32} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8. Suppose that there exist $\gamma \geq 1$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n+1} \leq C q_{n}^{\gamma} \text { for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with zero mean such that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi) \geq 1 /(1+\gamma)$ for all $s_{+}, s_{-} \in\{+,-\}$.

Proof. By assumption, we can find a subsequence $\left(q_{k_{n}}\right)$ of even denominators of $\alpha$ (or odd) such that
(29) $\quad q_{k_{n}} \geq\left(q_{k_{n-1}} q_{k_{n-1}+1}\right)^{n}, q_{k_{n}-1} \geq 4 q_{k_{n-1}}$ and $q_{k_{n}+1} \leq C q_{k_{n}}^{\gamma}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Take $M_{n}=33^{n}$ and let us consider the function $\varphi$ constructed in Section 55 Then (16), (17), (21) and (24) hold. It follows that $F^{s_{-} s_{+}} \subset D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi)$. Moreover, by Proposition 7, (27) and (29),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{H} F^{s_{-} s_{+}} & \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(m_{1} \ldots m_{n-1}\right)}{-\log \left(m_{n} \varepsilon_{n}\right)} \\
& \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{k_{n-1}}-\log 16-\log q_{k_{n-2}}-\log q_{k_{n-2}+1}}{\log q_{k_{n-1}}+\log q_{k_{n-1}+1}+\log 32} \\
& \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1-1 / n) \log q_{k_{n-1}}-\log 16}{(1+\gamma) \log q_{k_{n-1}}+\log C+\log 32}=\frac{1}{1+\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
Remark 8. In particular, for every $\alpha \in D C(\gamma)$ the condition (28) holds for some $C>0$, so the statement of Theorem 8 remains valid.

Remark 9. It is of course true that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(F^{s_{-} s_{+}}+n \alpha\right) \subset D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi)$, however

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(F^{s_{-} s_{+}}+n \alpha\right)=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(F^{s_{-} s_{+}}+n \alpha\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{H} F^{s_{-} s_{+}}
$$

Notice also that for each $n \geq 1$ the set $F^{s_{-} s_{+}}$is covered by $q_{k_{n}}$ intervals each of which has length $\delta_{n} / 4$. By Proposition 4.1 in [7], using (29), it follows that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} F^{s_{-} s_{+}} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{k_{n}}}{-\log \left(q_{k_{n-1}} /\left(4 q_{k_{n}} q_{k_{n}+1}\right)\right)} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

It follows that if we use only sets $F^{s_{-} s_{+}}$we cannot estimate the Hausdorff dimension of $D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi)$ from below by a number greater than $1 / 2$. Note finally that if $\alpha$ has bounded partial quotients then $\operatorname{dim}_{H} F^{s_{-} s_{+}}=\frac{1}{2}$.
Theorem 9. For almost every $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ there exists a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with zero mean such that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi) \geq 1 / 2$ for all $s_{+}, s_{-} \in\{+,-\}$.

Proof. Recall that (see [10) for a.e. $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ there exist $C>0$ and an increasing sequence $\left(k_{n}\right)$ of natural numbers such that

$$
q_{k_{n}+1} \leq C \cdot q_{k_{n}} \log q_{k_{n}} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

By the proof of Theorem 8 , there exists a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with zero mean such that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi) \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{k_{n}}}{\log q_{k_{n}}+\log q_{k_{n}+1}}
$$

for all $s_{+}, s_{-} \in\{+,-\}$. However,

$$
\frac{\log q_{k_{n}}}{\log q_{k_{n}}+\log q_{k_{n}+1}} \geq \frac{\log q_{k_{n}}}{2 \log q_{k_{n}}+\log \log q_{k_{n}}+\log C} \rightarrow 1 / 2
$$

as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Consequently, $\operatorname{dim}_{H} D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi) \geq 1 / 2$.

## 7. Smooth cylindrical transformations over rotations on higher DIMENSIONAL TORI

In this section we will deal with cylindrical transformations over rotations on higher dimensional tori. More precisely, we will construct some examples of cylindrical transformations $T_{\varphi}$ of class $C^{r}, r \geq 1$ admitting dense and discrete orbits and such that the set $D(\alpha, \varphi)$ has positive Hausdorff dimension; the construction is based on Yoccoz's method in [19].

Fix $d \geq 3$. Let $a>1$ be a real number such that $\bar{a}:=2 a^{d-1}-a^{d}-1>0$. (The derivative of the function $P(a)=2 a^{d-1}-a^{d}-1$ is $d-2>0$ at $a=1$ and $P(1)=0$, so $P$ takes positive values on a nonempty interval $(1, b)$.) Let $\alpha$ be an irrational number such that there exists $C_{0}>4$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 q_{n}^{a^{d}} \leq q_{n+1} \leq C_{0} q_{n}^{a^{d}} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $0<\varepsilon<\bar{a}$ and set $\varphi(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{n}(x+\alpha)-f_{n}(x)\right)$, where

$$
f_{n}(x)=\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}}\left(1-\cos 2 \pi q_{n} x\right)
$$

Note that $f_{n}(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and $n \geq 1$. Let

$$
F_{n}=\bigcup_{j=0}^{q_{n}-1}\left(\frac{j}{q_{n}}+\left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{n} q_{n+1}}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{n} q_{n+1}}}\right]\right) \text { and } F=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n} .
$$

Lemma 10. The function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class $C^{\lceil\bar{a}-\varepsilon\rceil}$ and there exist $\theta=$ $\theta\left(C_{0}, d, a, \varepsilon\right)>0$ and $K=K(a, \varepsilon)>0$ such that $\varphi^{(m)}(x) \geq-K$ for all $x \in F$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If additionally $q_{n}^{a^{d-1}} \leq|m| \leq \frac{1}{4} q_{n+1}$ and $q_{n}^{\bar{a}} \geq 64 C_{0}$ then $\varphi^{(m)}(x) \geq$ $\theta|m|^{\varepsilon / a^{d}}-K$.

Proof. Since

$$
f_{n}(x+\alpha)-f_{n}(x)=\frac{2 q_{n+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}} \sin \pi q_{n} \alpha \cdot \sin 2 \pi q_{n}(x+\alpha / 2)
$$

by (14) and (2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{d^{k}}{d x^{k}}\left(f_{n}(x+\alpha)-f_{n}(x)\right)\right| & =\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}} 2\left|\sin \pi q_{n} \alpha\right|\left|\frac{d^{k}}{d x^{k}} \sin 2 \pi q_{n}(x+\alpha / 2)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{q_{n+1}^{1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}} 2 \pi\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|\left(2 \pi q_{n}\right)^{k} \leq \frac{(2 \pi)^{k+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon-k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 / q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon-k}<+\infty$ for $0 \leq k \leq\lceil\bar{a}-\varepsilon\rceil$, so $\varphi \in C^{\lceil\bar{a}-\varepsilon\rceil}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.
Suppose that $x \in F$. Then $x \in F_{n}$ for every $n \geq 1$ and hence $\left\|q_{n} x\right\| \leq$ $\sqrt{q_{n}} / \sqrt{q_{n+1}}$. In view of (15),

$$
\sin ^{2} \pi q_{n} x \leq \pi^{2}\left\|q_{n} x\right\|^{2} \leq \pi^{2} q_{n} / q_{n+1}
$$

Therefore for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}(x+m \alpha)-f_{n}(x) & \geq-f_{n}(x)=-\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}}\left(1-\cos 2 \pi q_{n} x\right) \\
& =-2 \frac{q_{n+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}} \sin ^{2} \pi q_{n} x \geq-2 \pi^{2} \frac{q_{n+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}} \frac{q_{n}}{q_{n+1}}=-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{q_{n}^{\bar{a}-\varepsilon}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
K=K(a, \varepsilon):=2 \pi^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{n(\bar{a}-\varepsilon)}}=\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{4^{\bar{a}-\varepsilon}-1} .
$$

In view of (30), $q_{n} \geq 4^{n}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{(m)}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{n}(x+m \alpha)-f_{n}(x)\right) \geq-2 \pi^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_{n}^{\bar{a}-\varepsilon}} \geq-K \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose additionally that $q_{n}^{a^{d-1}} \leq|m| \leq \frac{1}{4} q_{n+1}$. By (2),

$$
\left\|m q_{n} \alpha\right\| \leq|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|<1 / 4, \text { and hence }\left\|m q_{n} \alpha\right\|=|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}(x+m \alpha)-f_{n}(x) & =\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}}\left(\cos 2 \pi q_{n} x-\cos 2 \pi q_{n}(x+m \alpha)\right) \\
& =\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}}\left(\cos 2 \pi\left( \pm\left\|q_{n} x\right\|\right)-\cos 2 \pi\left( \pm\left\|q_{n} x\right\|+\left\|m q_{n} \alpha\right\|\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}} 2 \sin \pi\left( \pm 2\left\|q_{n} x\right\|+\left\|m q_{n} \alpha\right\|\right) \sin \pi\left\|m q_{n} \alpha\right\| \\
& =\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}} 2 \sin \pi\left( \pm 2\left\|q_{n} x\right\|+|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|\right) \sin \pi|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

By (30),

$$
\sqrt{q_{n} q_{n+1}} \leq \sqrt{C_{0}} q_{n}^{\frac{1+a^{d}}{2}}=\sqrt{C_{0}} q_{n}^{a^{d-1}-\bar{a} / 2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{C_{0}}{q_{n}^{\bar{a}}}}|m| \leq \frac{|m|}{8}
$$

whenever $q_{n}^{\bar{a}} \geq 64 C_{0}$. Moreover, by (2), $\frac{|m|}{2 q_{n+1}} \leq|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|<1 / 4$ and hence

$$
\pm 2\left\|q_{n} x\right\|+|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\| \geq|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|-2\left\|q_{n} x\right\| \geq \frac{|m|}{2 q_{n+1}}-2 \frac{\sqrt{q_{n} q_{n+1}}}{q_{n+1}} \geq \frac{|m|}{4 q_{n+1}}
$$

Similarly

$$
\pm 2\left\|q_{n} x\right\|+|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\| \leq|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|+2\left\|q_{n} x\right\| \leq \frac{|m|}{q_{n+1}}+2 \frac{\sqrt{q_{n} q_{n+1}}}{q_{n+1}} \leq \frac{2|m|}{q_{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2} .
$$

By (15), it follows that

$$
\sin \pi|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\| \geq 2|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\| \geq \frac{|m|}{q_{n+1}}
$$

and

$$
\sin \pi\left( \pm 2\left\|q_{n} x\right\|+|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|\right) \geq 2\left( \pm 2\left\|q_{n} x\right\|+|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|\right) \geq \frac{|m|}{2 q_{n+1}}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}(x+m \alpha)-f_{n}(x) & =\frac{2 q_{n+1}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}} \sin \pi\left( \pm 2\left\|q_{n} x\right\|+|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|\right) \sin \pi|m|\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\| \\
& \geq \frac{m^{2}}{q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon} q_{n+1}} \geq \frac{q_{n}^{2 a^{d-1}}}{C_{0} q_{n}^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon} q_{n}^{a^{d}}}=\frac{q_{n}^{\varepsilon}}{C_{0}} \geq \frac{q_{n+1}^{\varepsilon / a^{d}}}{C_{0}^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{a^{d}}}} \geq \frac{|m|^{\varepsilon / a^{d}}}{C_{0}^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{a^{d}}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the proof of (31), we conclude that

$$
\varphi^{(m)}(x)=f_{n}(x+m \alpha)-f_{n}(x)+\sum_{l \neq n}^{\infty}\left(f_{l}(x+m \alpha)-f_{l}(x)\right) \geq \frac{|m|^{\varepsilon / a^{d}}}{C_{0}^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{a^{d}}}}-K .
$$

Set $C=2\left\lceil 4^{a+2}\right\rceil$. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}$ be irrational numbers. Let $\left(q_{n}^{(j)}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ stand for the sequence of denominators of $\alpha_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, d$. Assume that the denominators of $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}$ satisfy the following inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
4\left(q_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{a} \leq q_{n}^{(j+1)} \leq C\left(q_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{a} \text { for all } 1 \leq j \leq d \text { and } n \geq 1, \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which we use the notation $q_{n}^{(d+1)}=q_{n+1}^{(1)}$ and $q_{n}^{(0)}=q_{n-1}^{(d)}$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
4\left(q_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{a^{d-1}} \leq 4^{1+\ldots+a^{d-2}}\left(q_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{a^{d-1}} \leq q_{n+1}^{(j-1)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4\left(q_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{a^{d}} \leq q_{n+1}^{(j)} \leq C^{1+a+\ldots+a^{d-1}}\left(q_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{a^{d}}=C_{a}\left(q_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{a^{d}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Remark 10. Denote by $\mathfrak{C}(a)$ the set of all $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{d}$ satisfying (32). In Appendix $\mathbb{B}$ we will show that for uncountably many $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}(a)$ the rotation on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ by the vector $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right)$ is minimal.

Take $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}(a)$ such that the rotation $T: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{d}$ given by

$$
T\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\left(x_{1}+\alpha_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}+\alpha_{d}\right)
$$

is minimal. Let $0<\varepsilon<\bar{a}$ and set $\varphi_{j}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{n, j}\left(x+\alpha_{j}\right)-f_{n, j}(x)\right)$, where

$$
f_{n, j}(x)=\frac{q_{n+1}^{(j)}}{\left(q_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{1+\bar{a}-\varepsilon}}\left(1-\cos 2 \pi q_{n}^{(j)} x\right) \text { for } j=1, \ldots, d
$$

Let us consider the function $\varphi: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\ldots+\varphi_{d}\left(x_{d}\right) .
$$

For $1 \leq j \leq d$ set

$$
F^{(j)}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{l=0}^{q_{n}^{(j)}-1}\left(\frac{l}{q_{n}^{(j)}}+\left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{n}^{(j)} q_{n+1}^{(j)}}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{n}^{(j)} q_{n+1}^{(j)}}}\right]\right)
$$

Theorem 11. The function $\varphi: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class $C^{\lceil\bar{a}-\varepsilon\rceil}$ and

$$
\varphi^{(m)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }|m| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

for each $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in F^{(1)} \times \ldots \times F^{(d)}$.
Proof. For each integer $m$ with $|m| \geq q_{1}^{(d)} / 4$ there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq d$ such that $\frac{1}{4} q_{n+1}^{(j-1)} \leq|m| \leq \frac{1}{4} q_{n+1}^{(j)}$. Note that if $|m| \rightarrow+\infty$ then $n \rightarrow+\infty$, so $\left(q_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{\bar{a}} \geq 64 C_{a}$ whenever $|m|$ is large enough. From (33),

$$
\left(q_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{a^{d-1}} \leq \frac{1}{4} q_{n+1}^{(j-1)} \leq|m| \leq \frac{1}{4} q_{n+1}^{(j)}
$$

By (34) and Lemma 10 for every $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in F^{(1)} \times \ldots \times F^{(d)}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{(m)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{d} \varphi_{k}^{(m)}\left(x_{k}\right) \geq \varphi_{j}^{(m)}\left(x_{j}\right)-(d-1) K(a, \varepsilon) \\
& \geq \theta\left(C_{a}, d, a, \varepsilon\right)|m|^{\varepsilon / a^{d}}-d K(a, \varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\varphi^{(m)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }|m| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Proposition 12. For every $1 \leq j \leq d$ we have $\operatorname{dim}_{H} F^{(j)} \geq \frac{1}{1+a^{d}}$, in particular $\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(F^{(1)} \times \ldots \times F^{(d)}\right) \geq \frac{d}{1+a^{d}}$.

Proof. Fix $1 \leq j \leq d$ and we will write $q_{n}$ instead of $q_{n}^{(j)}$. Note that to calculate the Hausdorff dimension of $F^{(j)}$ we can use the scheme presented at the beginning of Section 6 and Remark 7 in which

$$
a=\frac{2}{\sqrt{q_{n} q_{n+1}}}, \quad b=\frac{2}{\sqrt{q_{n-1} q_{n}}} \quad \text { and } \quad h=\frac{1}{q_{n}} .
$$

Here

$$
\varepsilon_{n}=\frac{1}{q_{n}}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{q_{n} q_{n+1}}} \geq \frac{1}{2 q_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad m_{n} \geq \frac{\frac{2}{\sqrt{q_{n-1} q_{n}}}}{4 \frac{1}{q_{n}}}=\frac{\sqrt{q_{n}}}{2 \sqrt{q_{n-1}}}
$$

Thus

$$
m_{1} \ldots m_{n-1} \geq \frac{\sqrt{q_{n-1}}}{2^{n-1}}
$$

and using (34)

$$
m_{n} \varepsilon_{n} \geq \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{q_{n-1} q_{n}}} \geq \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{C_{a}} q_{n-1}^{\frac{1+a^{d}}{2}}}
$$

Moreover, $q_{n+1} \geq q_{n}^{a^{d}}$, and hence (reminding that $q_{1} \geq 4$ )

$$
\log q_{n} \geq \log q_{1}^{a^{d(n-1)}} \geq a^{d(n-1)} \log 4
$$

By Proposition 7 , it follows that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} F^{(j)} \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \log q_{n-1}-(n-1) \log 2}{\frac{1+a^{d}}{2} \log q_{n-1}+\log 4+\log \sqrt{C_{a}}}=\frac{1}{1+a^{d}}
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(F^{(1)} \times \ldots \times F^{(d)}\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{H} F^{(1)}+\ldots+\operatorname{dim}_{H} F^{(d)}
$$

the proof is complete.
Remark 11. For every $d \geq 3$ in order to obtain the maximum of $\bar{a}$ we have to choose of $a=2(d-1) / d$. Then

$$
\bar{a}=\frac{2^{d}}{d}\left(\frac{d-1}{d}\right)^{d-1}-1>\frac{2^{d}}{e d}-1 .
$$

In particular, for $d=3$ we have $\bar{a}=8 / 3 \cdot(2 / 3)^{2}=32 / 27<2$, so we can only choose $\varepsilon>0$ so that $\lceil\bar{a}-\varepsilon\rceil=1$. However, if $d \rightarrow+\infty$ then the degree of smoothness of $\varphi$ grows exponentially.

## 8. Differential equations and flows

Consider now a system of differential equations on $\mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \bar{x}}{d t}=\bar{\alpha}  \tag{35}\\
& \frac{d z}{d t}=1 \\
& \frac{d y}{d t}=f(\bar{x}, z),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right)$ induces a minimal rotation $T$ on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ and $f: \mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class $C^{r}$ for some $r \geq 0$. Denote by $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the corresponding flow on $\mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}$

$$
\Phi_{t}(\bar{x}, z, y)=\left(x+t \bar{\alpha}, z+t, \int_{0}^{t} f(\bar{x}+s \bar{\alpha}, z+s) d s\right)
$$

Then $\mathbb{T}^{d} \times\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}=\mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}$ is a global section for $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and the Poincaré map is given by the formula

$$
(\bar{x}, y) \mapsto(\bar{x}+\bar{\alpha}, y+\varphi(\bar{x}))
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\bar{x})=\int_{0}^{1} f(\bar{x}+s \bar{\alpha}, s) d s \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $\varphi: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also of $C^{r}$ class. Reciprocally, if $\varphi: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of $C^{r}$ class then we can find $f: \mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is of $C^{r}$ class so that (36) holds (for example $f(\bar{x}, z)=\varphi(\bar{x}-z \bar{\alpha}) b(z)$ will do provided $b:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, $\int_{0}^{1} b(t) d t=1$ and $\left.b\right|_{[0, \eta]}=0=\left.b\right|_{[1-\eta, 1]}$ for some $\left.0<\eta<1 / 2\right)$.

Now the flow $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is topologically the same as the suspension flow over $T_{\varphi}$. In particular, closed orbits of $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ which we may identify with closed orbits of the suspension flow are in a natural correspondence with closed orbits of $T_{\varphi}$. More generally, each minimal subset for the suspension flow is of the form $M \times[0,1)$ where $M \subset \mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}$ is a minimal subset for $T_{\varphi}$. We will now make use of our knowledge about properties of $T_{\varphi}$ to derive properties of $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.

First of all, we note that $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is never minimal. Then, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} \varphi(\bar{x}) d \bar{x}=\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{T}} f(\bar{x}, z) d \bar{x} d z \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we should constantly assume that the latter integral vanishes; otherwise $\mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ is foliated into closed orbits of $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ (each orbit being homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ ). When the integral vanishes and $\varphi(\bar{x})=j(\bar{x})-j(T \bar{x})$ for a continuous $j: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then again $\mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ is foliated into minimal components of $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, however now each minimal component is compact. This situation is equivalent to saying that there exists an orbit of $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ which is relatively compact (and then all orbits are relatively compact). Finally, if the integral (37) vanishes and no orbit of $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is relatively compact then $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is topologically transitive, that is, there is a dense orbit (and since it is not minimal there are orbits which are not dense). A natural question arises to decide whether in the transitive and smooth $(r \geq 1)$ case
closed orbits can exist. The answer is negative if $d=1$ (in fact, for $d=1$ the flow corresponding to (35) has no minimal subset). We can now interpret the results of Section 7 as the positive answer to the question in case $d \geq 3$ (although with some restriction on the degree of smoothness of the vector field in (35)).
8.1. Differential equations on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. For any irrational number $\alpha>0$ let us consider the system of differential equations on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=-2 \pi y+2 \pi \alpha x z \\
& y^{\prime}=2 \pi x+2 \pi \alpha y z  \tag{38}\\
& z^{\prime}=\pi \alpha\left(1-x^{2}-y^{2}+z^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It is easily checked that $\ln \left(\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}+1\right)^{2}+z^{2}\right)-\ln \left(\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}-1\right)^{2}+z^{2}\right)$ is a first integral of (38). For $a>0$ the set of $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}+1\right)^{2}+z^{2}=a\left(\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}-1\right)^{2}+z^{2}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a torus; indeed, by setting $r=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}$, (39) is equivalent to

$$
\left(r-\frac{a+1}{a-1}\right)^{2}+z^{2}=\frac{4 a}{(a-1)^{2}}
$$

It follows that the corresponding family of tori establishes an invariant foliation of $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{S}_{0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{0}\right)$, where

$$
\mathbb{S}_{0}=\left\{(x, y, z): x^{2}+y^{2}=1, z=0\right\} \text { and } \mathbb{R}_{0}=\{(x, y, z): x=y=0\}
$$

Moreover, the flow corresponding to (38) acts on each invariant torus as the linear flow in the direction $(\alpha, 1)$ - we will see a relevant computation in a perturbed situation in a while.

The aim of this section is to give (for every irrational $\alpha$ ) a continuous perturbation of (38) which completely destroys its integrable dynamics. We will show that under some special continuous perturbation of (38) the resulting systems have plenty of orbits which are dense, homoclinic and heteroclinic to limit cycles. The class of considered perturbations is in the spirit of Chapter XIX in [17.

Let $\psi: \mathbb{T}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Set

$$
\omega(x, y, z)=\frac{\arg \left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-1-2 z i\right)}{2 \pi} \text { and } \theta(x, y)=\frac{\arg (x+y i)}{2 \pi}
$$

for every $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{S}_{0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{0}\right)$ and denote by $F: \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{S}_{0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the continuous function

$$
F(x, y, z)=\ln \sqrt{\frac{\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}+1\right)^{2}+z^{2}}{\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}-1\right)^{2}+z^{2}}} \cdot \psi(\omega(x, y, z), \theta(x, y)) .
$$

We will deal with the perturbed differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=-2 \pi y+2 \pi \alpha x z+\frac{\left(1-x^{2}-y^{2}+z^{2}\right) x}{2 \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} F(x, y, z) \\
& y^{\prime}=2 \pi x+2 \pi \alpha y z+\frac{\left(1-x^{2}-y^{2}+z^{2}\right) y}{2 \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} F(x, y, z)  \tag{40}\\
& z^{\prime}=\pi \alpha\left(1-x^{2}-y^{2}+z^{2}\right)-z \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} F(x, y, z) .
\end{align*}
$$

It is easily checked that the right hand side of (40) can be continuously extended to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (indeed, since $\left|1-r^{2}+z^{2}\right| \leq 3 \sqrt{(r-1)^{2}+z^{2}}$ for $|r-1|$ and $|z|$ sufficiently small, $\left(1-r^{2}+z^{2}\right) \ln \sqrt{(r-1)^{2}+z^{2}} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1, z \rightarrow 0$ and therefore on $\mathbb{S}_{0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{0}$ we come back to (38)), so the equation (40) is well defined on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The existence and uniqueness of solutions (40) we will prove later by applying a change
of coordinates (solutions are defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, except for the line $\mathbb{R}_{0}$ ). Denote by $\left(\Psi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the flow corresponding to (40). We have already noticed that $x^{\prime}=$ $-2 \pi y, y^{\prime}=2 \pi x, z^{\prime}=0$ on $\mathbb{S}_{0}$ and $x^{\prime}=0, y^{\prime}=0, z^{\prime}=\pi \alpha\left(1+z^{2}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}_{0}$. Therefore $\mathbb{S}_{0}$ is $\left(\Psi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$-invariant and $\Psi_{t}(x, y, 0)=\Psi_{t}(x+i y, 0)=\left(e^{2 \pi i t}(x+i y), 0\right)$ on $\mathbb{S}_{0}$. Moreover, $\left(\Psi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a local flow on $\mathbb{R}_{0}$ and

$$
\Psi_{t}(0,0, z)=(0,0, \tan (\pi \alpha t+\arctan z)) \text { for } t \in\left(-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}, \frac{1}{2 \alpha}\right)-\frac{\arctan z}{\pi \alpha}
$$

We will show that $\left(\Psi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{S}_{0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{0}\right)$ indeed as a flow. We will use a hyperbolic polar coordinates on the hyperbolic half-plane $\{(z, r): r>0, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ given by

$$
z+i r \mapsto \frac{i(z+i r)+1}{(z+i r)+i}=i e^{-e^{s}} e^{2 \pi i \omega}
$$

together with the usual polar coordinates $x=r \cos 2 \pi \theta, y=r \sin 2 \pi \theta$. It results in toral coordinates $(\omega, \theta, s) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{S}_{0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{0}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\left(\frac{-2\left(e^{-e^{s}} \cos 2 \pi \omega-1\right)}{\left(e^{-e^{s}} \sin 2 \pi \omega\right)^{2}+\left(e^{-e^{s}} \cos 2 \pi \omega-1\right)^{2}}-1\right) \cos 2 \pi \theta, \\
& y=\left(\frac{-2\left(e^{-e^{s}} \cos 2 \pi \omega-1\right)}{\left(e^{-e^{s}} \sin 2 \pi \omega\right)^{2}+\left(e^{-e^{s}} \cos 2 \pi \omega-1\right)^{2}}-1\right) \sin 2 \pi \theta, \\
& z=\frac{-2 e^{-e^{s}} \sin 2 \pi \omega}{\left(e^{-e^{s}} \sin 2 \pi \omega\right)^{2}+\left(e^{-e^{s}} \cos 2 \pi \omega-1\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $\Upsilon: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{S}_{0} \cup \mathbb{R}_{0}\right)$ the map establishing the change of coordinates. The inverse change of coordinates is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \pi \omega & =\arg \left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-1-2 z i\right) \\
2 \pi \theta & =\arg (x+y i) \\
s & =\ln \ln \sqrt{\frac{\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}+1\right)^{2}+z^{2}}{\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}-1\right)^{2}+z^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

indeed,

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{-e^{s}}=\left|\frac{i(z+i r)+1}{(z+i r)+i}\right|=\sqrt{\frac{(r-1)^{2}+z^{2}}{(r+1)^{2}+z^{2}}}, \\
2 \pi \omega=\arg \frac{z+i(r-1)}{z+(r+1)}=\arg \left(r^{2}+z^{2}-1-2 z i\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Setting additionally $u=e^{s}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime} & =-2 \pi y+2 \pi \alpha x z+\frac{\left(1-r^{2}+z^{2}\right) x}{2 r} u \psi(\omega, \theta), \\
y^{\prime} & =2 \pi x+2 \pi \alpha y z+\frac{\left(1-r^{2}+z^{2}\right) y}{2 r} u \psi(\omega, \theta), \\
z^{\prime} & =\pi \alpha\left(1-r^{2}+z^{2}\right)-z r u \psi(\omega, \theta) . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}=\frac{x x^{\prime}+y y^{\prime}}{r}=2 \pi \alpha r z+\frac{1-r^{2}+z^{2}}{2} u \psi(\omega, \theta), \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
x y^{\prime}-y x^{\prime}=2 \pi\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)
$$

Since $x=r \cos 2 \pi \theta, y=r \sin 2 \pi \theta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \theta^{\prime}=\frac{x}{r}\left(\frac{y}{r}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{y}{r}\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{x y^{\prime}-y x^{\prime}}{x^{2}+y^{2}}=2 \pi \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next note that
$\left|x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-1-2 z i\right|^{2}=\left(r^{2}+z^{2}-1\right)^{2}+(2 z)^{2}=\left((r+1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)\left((r-1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)$,
and hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
r^{2}+z^{2}-1=\sqrt{\left((r+1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)\left((r-1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)} \cos 2 \pi \omega \\
\quad-2 z=\sqrt{\left((r+1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)\left((r-1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)} \sin 2 \pi \omega
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that (similarly as in (43))

$$
2 \pi \omega^{\prime}=\frac{(-2 z)^{\prime}\left(r^{2}+z^{2}-1\right)-\left(r^{2}+z^{2}-1\right)^{\prime}(-2 z)}{\left((r+1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)\left((r-1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)}
$$

Moreover, by (41) and (42),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(r^{2}+z^{2}-1\right)^{\prime} 2 z-(2 z)^{\prime}\left(r^{2}+z^{2}-1\right)=2\left(2 z r r^{\prime}+\left(1-r^{2}+z^{2}\right) z^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=2 \pi \alpha\left(4 z^{2} r^{2}+\left(1-r^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}\right)=2 \pi \alpha\left((r+1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)\left((r-1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so $2 \pi \omega^{\prime}=2 \pi \alpha$. Since $u=\frac{1}{2}\left(\ln \left((r+1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)-\ln \left((r-1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)\right)$, we have

$$
u^{\prime}=\frac{r^{\prime}(r+1)+z^{\prime} z}{(r+1)^{2}+z^{2}}-\frac{r^{\prime}(r-1)+z^{\prime} z}{(r-1)^{2}+z^{2}}=\frac{2\left(1-r^{2}+z^{2}\right) r^{\prime}-4 r z z^{\prime}}{\left((r+1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)\left((r-1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)}
$$

Moreover, by (41) and (42),

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left(1-r^{2}+z^{2}\right) r^{\prime}-4 r z z^{\prime} & =\left(\left(z^{2}-r^{2}+1\right)^{2}+4(r z)^{2}\right) u \psi(\omega, \theta) \\
& =\left((r+1)^{2}+z^{2}\right)\left((r-1)^{2}+z^{2}\right) u \psi(\omega, \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $u^{\prime}=u \psi(\omega, \theta)$. Therefore

$$
s^{\prime}=u^{\prime} / u=\psi(\omega, \theta)
$$

hence in the new coordinates the differential equation (40) takes the form

$$
\omega^{\prime}=\alpha, \quad \theta^{\prime}=1, \quad s^{\prime}=\psi(\omega, \theta)
$$

and we return to the scheme from Section 8 Denote by $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the corresponding flow on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\Phi_{t}(\omega, \theta, s)=\left(\omega+t \alpha, \theta+t, s+\int_{0}^{t} \psi(\omega+\tau \alpha, \theta+\tau) d \tau\right)
$$

Let $\alpha$ be an arbitrary irrational number and let $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ stand for the function constructed in Section [5] Choose a continuous function $\psi: \mathbb{T}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which $\varphi(\omega)=\int_{0}^{1} \psi(\omega+\tau \alpha, \tau) d \tau$. Assume that for $(\omega, \theta, s) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ we have $\omega-\alpha \theta \in$ $D^{s_{-}+}$. Then $\varphi^{(n)}(\omega-\alpha \theta) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{n}: & =s+\int_{0}^{n} \psi(\omega+\tau \alpha, \theta+\tau) d \tau \\
& =s+\varphi^{(n)}(\omega-\alpha \theta)+\int_{0}^{\theta}(\psi(\omega+(\tau+n-\theta) \alpha, \tau)-\psi(\omega+(\tau-\theta) \alpha, \tau)) d \tau \\
& \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that if $(x, y, z)=\Upsilon(\omega, \theta, s)$ then

$$
x+i y=\frac{1-e^{-2 e^{s}}}{e^{-2 e^{s}}-2 e^{-e^{s}} \cos 2 \pi \omega+1} e^{2 \pi i \theta}, \quad z=\frac{-2 e^{-e^{s}} \sin 2 \pi \omega}{e^{-2 e^{s}}-2 e^{-e^{s}} \cos 2 \pi \omega+1} .
$$

Since $e^{-2 e^{s_{n}}} \rightarrow 0$, setting $\omega_{n}=\omega+n \alpha$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{n}(x, y, z) & =\Psi_{n} \circ \Upsilon(\omega, \theta, s)=\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{n}(\omega, \theta, s)=\Upsilon\left(\omega_{n}, \theta+n, s_{n}\right)=\Upsilon\left(\omega_{n}, \theta, s_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\left(1-e^{-2 e^{s_{n}}}\right) e^{2 \pi i \theta}}{e^{-2 e^{s_{n}}}-2 e^{-e^{s_{n}}} \cos 2 \pi \omega_{n}+1}, \frac{-2 e^{-e^{s_{n}}} \sin 2 \pi \omega_{n}}{e^{-2 e^{s_{n}}}-2 e^{-e^{s_{n}}} \cos 2 \pi \omega_{n}+1}\right) \\
& \rightarrow\left(e^{2 \pi i \theta}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{S}_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the $\omega$-limit set of $(x, y, z)$ is equal to $\mathbb{S}_{0}$.
Now assume that $\omega-\alpha \theta \in D^{s_{-}}$and $\omega \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{n}:= & s+\int_{0}^{n / \alpha} \psi(\omega+\tau \alpha, \theta+\tau) d \tau \\
= & s+\varphi^{(\lfloor\theta+n / \alpha\rfloor)}(\omega-\alpha \theta) \\
& +\int_{0}^{\{\theta+n / \alpha\}} \psi(\omega+(\tau-\theta+\lfloor\theta+n / \alpha\rfloor) \alpha, \tau) d \tau-\int_{0}^{\theta} \psi(\omega+(\tau-\theta) \alpha, \tau) d \tau \\
\rightarrow & -\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, so $e^{-2 e^{s_{n}}} \rightarrow 1$. Setting $\theta_{n}=\theta+n / \alpha$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{n / \alpha}(x, y, z) & =\Upsilon \circ \Phi_{n / \alpha}(\omega, \theta, s)=\Upsilon\left(\omega+n, \theta_{n}, s_{n}\right)=\Upsilon\left(\omega, \theta_{n}, s_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\left(1-e^{-2 e^{s_{n}}}\right) e^{2 \pi i \theta_{n}}}{e^{-2 e^{s_{n}}}-2 e^{-e^{s_{n}}} \cos 2 \pi \omega+1}, \frac{-2 e^{-e^{s_{n}}} \sin 2 \pi \omega}{e^{-2 e^{s_{n}}}-2 e^{-e^{s_{n}}} \cos 2 \pi \omega+1}\right) \\
& \rightarrow\left(0, \frac{-\sin 2 \pi \omega}{1-\cos 2 \pi \omega}\right)=(0, \tan (\pi \omega-\pi / 2)) \in \mathbb{R}_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the $\omega$-limit set of $(x, y, z)$ is equal to $\mathbb{R}_{0}$.
Let $A_{+}:=\mathbb{S}_{0}$ and $A_{-}:=\mathbb{R}_{0}$. Similar arguments to those above show that if $\omega-\alpha \theta \in D^{s_{-} s_{+}}$then the $\alpha$-limit set of $(x, y, z)$ is $A_{s_{-}}$. Recall that the set $D^{s_{-} s_{+}}$ is invariant under the rotation by $\alpha$, so it is dense. Thus

$$
\left\{\Upsilon(\omega, \theta, s):(\omega, \theta, s) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \omega-\alpha \theta \in D^{s_{-} s_{+}}\right\}
$$

is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Moreover, the Hausdorff dimension of this set is no smaller than $\operatorname{dim}_{H} D_{s_{-} s_{+}}+2$. In view of Theorem 9 we have the following.

Theorem 13. For every irrational $\alpha$ there exists a continuous function $\psi: \mathbb{T}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the flow $\left(\Psi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ corresponding to (40) is transitive and for each $s_{-}, s_{+} \in$ $\{-,+\}$ the set of points such that the $\omega$-limit set is equal to $A_{s_{+}}$and the $\alpha$-limit set is equal to $A_{s_{-}}$is dense. Moreover, for almost every $\alpha$ the Hausdorff dimension of each such set is no smaller than 5/2.
8.2. Differential equations on $\mathbb{S}^{3}$. In this section we will deal with continuous (or even Hölder continuous) perturbations of the completely integrable system $z_{1}^{\prime}=$ $i a z_{1}, z_{2}^{\prime}=i b z_{2}(a, b \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$ on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{4}$. Let us consider the system of differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}^{\prime}=i a z_{1}-F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{z_{2}} \\
& z_{2}^{\prime}=i b z_{2}+F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{z_{1}}, \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-homogeneous of degree 1 on each coordinate, i.e. $F\left(t_{1} z_{1}, t_{2} z_{2}\right)=t_{1} t_{2} F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ for all $t_{1}, t_{2}>0$, and such that $\overline{z_{1} z_{2}} F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\left(\Psi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the associated flow on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. The existence of $\left(\Psi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ will be shown as a byproduct. Note that $\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}$ is a first integral for (44). Indeed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}=2 \Re z_{1}^{\prime} \overline{z_{1}}=2 \Re\left(i a\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{\bar{z}_{1} z_{2}}\right)=-2 F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{\overline{z_{1} z_{2}}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}=2 \Re z_{2}^{\prime} \overline{z_{2}}=2 \Re\left(i a\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{z_{1} z_{2}}\right)=2 F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{z_{1} z_{2}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)=1$. Therefore,

$$
\mathbb{S}^{3}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}:\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}=1\right\}
$$

is $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)$-invariant and we confine ourselves to the study of $\left(\Psi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{3}$. Let us consider

$$
\widetilde{F}: \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\} \times \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \widetilde{F}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\frac{F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}{z_{1} z_{2}}=\frac{F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{z_{1} z_{2}}}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

By assumptions, $\widetilde{F}$ is continuous and $\widetilde{F}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\widetilde{F}\left(z_{1} /\left|z_{1}\right|, z_{2} /\left|z_{2}\right|\right)$. Let

$$
\psi: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \psi(\omega, \theta)=\widetilde{F}\left(e^{2 \pi i \omega}, e^{2 \pi i \theta}\right)
$$

so $\psi$ is continuous. Moreover, note that each continuous function $\psi: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ determines a continuous function $F: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-homogeneous of degree 1 on each coordinate and such that $\overline{z_{1} z_{2}} F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$
F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=z_{1} z_{2} \psi(\omega, \theta) \text { whenever } z_{1}=\left|z_{1}\right| e^{2 \pi i \omega}, z_{2}=\left|z_{2}\right| e^{2 \pi i \theta}
$$

If additionally $\psi$ is $\gamma$-Hölder continuous then $F: \mathbb{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is $\gamma$-Hölder continuous as well (see Proposition 19 in Appendix Cl). Let
$\mathbb{S}_{-}^{1}:=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{3}:\left|z_{1}\right|=1, z_{2}=0\right\}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{+}^{1}:=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{3}: z_{1}=0,\left|z_{2}\right|=1\right\}$.
Observe that $\mathbb{S}_{+}^{1}, \mathbb{S}_{-}^{1}$ are invariant sets and

$$
\Psi\left(z_{1}, 0\right)=\left(e^{i a t} z_{1}, 0\right) \text { and } \Psi\left(0, z_{2}\right)=\left(0, e^{i b t} z_{2}\right)
$$

Let us consider a new coordinates $(\omega, \theta, s) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{S}_{+}^{1} \cup \mathbb{S}_{-}^{1}\right)$ given by

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\Upsilon(\omega, \theta, s)=\left(e^{2 \pi i \omega} \cos \arctan e^{s}, e^{2 \pi i \theta} \sin \arctan e^{s}\right)
$$

Then

$$
e^{2 \pi i \omega}=z_{1} /\left|z_{1}\right|, e^{2 \pi i \theta}=z_{2} /\left|z_{2}\right| \text { and }\left|z_{1}\right|=\cos \arctan e^{s}, \quad\left|z_{2}\right|=\sin \arctan e^{s}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{\left|z_{2}\right|}{\left|z_{1}\right|}=\frac{\sin \arctan e^{s}}{\cos \arctan e^{s}}=e^{s},
$$

so

$$
s=\ln \left|z_{2}\right|-\ln \left|z_{1}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(\ln \left|z_{2}\right|^{2}-\ln \left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right) .
$$

By (45) and (46), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d s}{d t} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}} \frac{d}{d t}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}} \frac{d}{d t}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)=F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{z_{1} z_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}+\frac{1}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}\right) \\
& =F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \frac{\overline{z_{1} z_{2}}}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}=\widetilde{F}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\widetilde{F}\left(z_{1} /\left|z_{1}\right|, z_{2} /\left|z_{2}\right|\right)=\psi(\omega, \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \pi \omega^{\prime}=\Im \frac{z_{1}^{\prime}}{z_{1}}=\Im \frac{i a z_{1}-F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{z_{2}}}{z_{1}}=\Im\left(i a-\frac{F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{z_{1} z_{2}}}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}\right)=a, \\
& 2 \pi \theta^{\prime}=\Im \frac{z_{2}^{\prime}}{z_{2}}=\Im \frac{i b z_{2}-F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{z_{1}}}{z_{2}}=\Im\left(i b+\frac{F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{z_{1} z_{2}}}{\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}\right)=b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence if $a=2 \pi \alpha$ and $b=2 \pi$ then in the new coordinates the differential equation (44) on $\mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash\left(\mathbb{S}_{+}^{1} \cup \mathbb{S}_{-}^{1}\right)$ takes the form

$$
\omega^{\prime}=\alpha, \quad \theta^{\prime}=1, \quad s^{\prime}=\psi(\omega, \theta),
$$

and we return to the scheme from Section 8 as well. Therefore if $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=$ $\Upsilon(\omega, \theta, s) \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$ then $\Psi_{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ equals
$\left(e^{2 \pi i(\omega+t \alpha)} \cos \arctan e^{s+\int_{0}^{t} \psi(\omega+\alpha \tau, \theta+\tau) d \tau}, e^{2 \pi i(\theta+t)} \sin \arctan e^{s+\int_{0}^{t} \psi(\omega+\alpha \tau, \theta+\tau) d \tau}\right)$.
Recall that cos arctan $e^{s} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\sin \arctan e^{s} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow-\infty$. It follows that if $\int_{0}^{t} \psi(\omega+\alpha \tau, \theta+\tau) d \tau \rightarrow s_{+} \infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, then the $\omega$-limit set of $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ is equal to $\mathbb{S}_{s_{+}}^{1}$. Moreover, if $\int_{0}^{t} \psi(\omega+\alpha \tau, \theta+\tau) d \tau \rightarrow s_{-} \infty$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$, then the $\alpha$-limit set of $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ is equal to $\mathbb{S}_{s_{-}}^{1}$.

Now as a consequence of results from Sections 2 6 we have the following theorem which demonstrates possible coexistence of different behaviours for solutions of (44).
Theorem 14. For every irrational $\alpha$ there exists a continuous function $F: \mathbb{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the corresponding flow $\left(\Psi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ is transitive and for each $s_{-}, s_{+} \in$ $\{-,+\}$ the set $H C_{s_{-} s_{+}}$of points such that the $\omega$-limit set is equal to $\mathbb{S}_{s_{+}}^{1}$ and the $\alpha$-limit set is equal to $\mathbb{S}_{s_{-}}^{1}$ is dense. If $\alpha \in D C(a)$ for some $a \geq 1$ then for every $0<\gamma<1 /((1+a) a)$ the function $F$ can be chosen $\gamma$-Hölder continuous.

Moreover, for almost every $\alpha$ the Hausdorff dimension of each set $H C_{s_{-} s_{+}}$is no smaller than $5 / 2$.

## Appendix A. Open problems

If a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with zero mean has bounded variation then the uniform Denjoy-Koksma inequality holds, i.e. $\left|\varphi^{\left(q_{n}\right)}(x)\right| \leq \operatorname{Var} \varphi$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}$, and as we have already noticed, this implies the absence of discrete orbits for $T_{\varphi}$. Now assume that $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and it satisfies only $\widehat{\varphi}(n)=$ $\mathrm{O}(1 /|n|)$. As it has been proved in [2], $\varphi$ fulfills an $L^{2}$-Denjoy-Koksma inequality, in particular the sequence $\left(\varphi^{\left(q_{n}\right)}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$. However, we are not aware of any direct argument based on the $L^{2}$-Denjoy-Koksma inequality which shows the absence of discrete orbits.
Problem 1. Does there exist an irrational rotation $T x=x+\alpha$ and a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\widehat{\varphi}(n)=\mathrm{O}(1 /|n|)$ such that the cylindrical transformation $T_{\varphi}$ is Besicovitch?

In Section 3 for $\alpha$ satisfying a Diophantine condition we have constructed a $\gamma$-Hölder continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the corresponding cylindrical transformation is Besicovitch. However $\gamma$ was smaller than $1 / 2$.

Problem 2. Does there exist a $\gamma$-Hölder continuous function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\gamma \geq$ $1 / 2$ such that $T_{\varphi}$ is Besicovitch? Can we construct Hölder continuous Besicovitch cylindrical transformations over "very" Liouville rotations, i.e. when we assume that the sequence of denominators $\left(q_{n}\right)$ increases very rapidly?

We estimated from below the Hausdorff dimension of the sets $D^{s_{-} s_{+}}(\alpha, \varphi)$ by estimating from below the Hausdorff dimension of a subset $F^{s_{-} s_{+}}$. However, under the condition (29), the Hausdorff dimension of the latter set is not bigger than 1/2.

Problem 3. Is $1 / 2$ an upper bound for the Hausdorff dimension of $D(\alpha, \varphi)$ or can we find $\alpha$ and $\varphi$ so that $\operatorname{dim}_{H}(D(\alpha, \varphi))>1 / 2$ ? Is there any relationship between $\operatorname{dim}_{H} D(\alpha, \varphi)$ and the Hölder exponent of $\varphi$ or the type of $\alpha$ while estimating the Hausdorff dimension from above?

It would be also interesting to decide whether there can exist a Besicovitch transformation in the most extremal sense of the definition.
Problem 4. Does there exist a cylindrical transformation which is Besicovitch and such that each orbit is either dense or discrete?

There are some natural questions concerning smooth Besicovitch cylindrical transformations (the problem below being only a sample).
Problem 5. Does there exist a $C^{\infty}$ (or even analytic) Besicovitch cylindrical transformation over a minimal rotation on higher dimensional tori?
Notice that in the present paper we did not decide whether a $C^{1}$ Besicovitch transformation exists over a two-dimensional minimal rotation.

Remark 12. Note that we can view points whose orbits are discrete as special points which are not recurrent. The results of this paper can hence be seen as a contribution toward a better understanding of the problem how big the set of nonrecurrent points can be, both in the compact (as in Section 8.2) or non-compact case.

Remark 13. We have not been able to decide whether or not constructions from Sections 277 lead to ergodic cocycles. Notice however that the cocycle $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ from Section 4 is a measurable coboundary. Indeed, $\left\|f_{k}-M_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq 2 q_{k} \delta_{k} M_{k} \leq$ $2 \frac{\log q_{k}}{q_{k+1}}$, so the serious $f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{k}-M_{k}\right)$ converges in $L^{1}$, and hence $\varphi(x)=f(x+$ $\alpha)-f(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Moreover, some further modifications of the construction from Section 5 lead also to cocycles which are measurable coboundaries.

## Appendix B. Minimality of rotations on tori

Set $A=16, B=\left\lceil 4^{a} A\right\rceil$ and $C=2 B$. Let $S=\left(\left(A_{n}^{(j)}, B_{n}^{(j)}\right)\right)_{n \geq 1,1 \leq j \leq d}$ be a sequence such that $\left(A_{n}^{(j)}, B_{n}^{(j)}\right)$ is equal to $(4, C)$ or $(A, B)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{C}(a, S)$ the set of all $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{d}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{(j)}\left(q_{n}^{(j-1)}\right)^{a} \leq q_{n}^{(j)} \leq B_{n}^{(j)}\left(q_{n}^{(j-1)}\right)^{a} \text { for all } n \geq 1,1 \leq j \leq d \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the notation $q_{n}^{(0)}=q_{n-1}^{(d)}$. For every subset $U \subset\{1, \ldots, d\}$ denote by $S^{U}$ the sequence $\left(\left(\widetilde{A}_{n}^{(j)}, \widetilde{B}_{n}^{(j)}\right)\right)_{n \geq 1,1 \leq j \leq d}$ such that

$$
\left(\widetilde{A}_{n}^{(j)}, \widetilde{B}_{n}^{(j)}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
(4, C) & \text { if } & j \in U \\
\left(A_{n}^{(j)}, B_{n}^{(j)}\right) & \text { if } & j \notin U
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\underline{S}$ be the constant sequence with $\left(A_{n}^{(j)}, B_{n}^{(j)}\right)=(A, B)$. Then $\mathfrak{C}\left(a, \underline{S}^{\{1, \ldots, d\}}\right)=$ $\mathfrak{C}(a)$.

Lemma 15. The set $\mathfrak{C}(a, \underline{S}) \subset \mathbb{T}^{d}$ uncountable.
Proof. Let $\left[0 ; a_{1}^{(j)}, a_{2}^{(j)}, \ldots\right]$ stand for the continued fraction expansion of $\alpha_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, d$. We will use the notation $a_{n}^{(0)}:=a_{n-1}^{(d)}$. We will construct sequences of partial quotients $\left(a_{n}^{(j)}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}, j=1, \ldots, d$ inductively. In the first step choose any natural $A \leq a_{1}^{(1)} \leq B$. Then $q_{1}^{(1)}=a_{1}^{(1)}$ fulfills (47) for $(n, j)=(1,1)$. In the inductive step suppose that for a pair of natural numbers $(n, l)$ with $1 \leq l \leq d$ all partial quotients $a_{k}^{(j)}$ for $k<n, 1 \leq j \leq d$, and $a_{n}^{(j)}$ for $0 \leq j<l$ are already chosen so that the corresponding denominators satisfy the following inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(q_{k}^{(j-1)}\right)^{a} \leq q_{k}^{(j)} \leq B\left(q_{k}^{(j-1)}\right)^{a} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all pairs $(k, j)$ such that $1 \leq k<n, 1 \leq j \leq d$ or $k=n, 1 \leq j<l$. Now we can choose $a_{n}^{(l)} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a} \leq a_{n}^{(l)} q_{n-1}^{(l)}+q_{n-2}^{(l)} \leq B\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by (48), $q_{n-2}^{(l)} \leq q_{n-1}^{(l)} \leq q_{n}^{(l-1)} / A \leq\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a} / 16$, so we can find at least two numbers $a_{n}^{(l)}$ satisfying (49). Since there are at least two choices for $a_{n}^{(l)}$ at each step of the construction, the set $\mathfrak{C}(a, \underline{S})$ is uncountable.

Lemma 16. Fix $1 \leq l \leq d$ and let $S=\left(\left(A_{n}^{(j)}, B_{n}^{(j)}\right)\right)_{n \geq 1,1 \leq j \leq d}$ be a sequence such that $\left(A_{n}^{(l)}, B_{n}^{(l)}\right)=(A, B)$ for $n \geq 1$. If $\bar{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}(a, S)$ then the set

$$
\left\{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}:\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}, \alpha, \alpha_{l+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}\left(a, S^{\{l\}}\right)\right\}
$$

is uncountable.
Proof. By assumption, for each $n \geq 1$

$$
\begin{gather*}
A\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a} \leq q_{n}^{(l)} \leq B\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a}  \tag{50}\\
A_{n}^{(l+1)}\left(q_{n}^{(l)}\right)^{a} \leq q_{n}^{(l+1)} \leq B_{n}^{(l+1)}\left(q_{n}^{(l)}\right)^{a}
\end{gather*}
$$

and hence, by the latter inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(q_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}{\left(B_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}} \leq q_{n}^{(l)} \leq \frac{\left(q_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}{\left(A_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
I_{n}:=\left[\max \left(4\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a}, \frac{\left(q_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}{\left(B_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}\right), \min \left(C\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a}, \frac{\left(q_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}{\left(A_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}\right)\right]
$$

By the definition of $A, B, C$ and (50), (51), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a}-4\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a} & \geq 3 q_{n}^{(l-1)}, \\
\frac{\left(q_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}{\left(A_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}-\frac{\left(q_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}{\left(B_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}} & =\frac{\left(q_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}{\left(A_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}\left(1-\frac{\left(A_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}{\left(B_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}\right) \\
& \geq q_{n}^{(l)}\left(1-(A / B)^{1 / a}\right) \geq \frac{3}{4} q_{n}^{(l)}, \\
\frac{\left(q_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}{\left(A_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}-4\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a} & \geq q_{n}^{(l)}(1-4 / A)=\frac{3}{4} q_{n}^{(l)}, \\
C\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a}-\frac{\left(q_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}}{\left(B_{n}^{(l+1)}\right)^{1 / a}} & \geq q_{n}^{(l)}(C / B-1)=q_{n}^{(l)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $q_{n}^{(l-1)} \geq q_{n-1}^{(l+1)}$ and $q_{n}^{(l)} \geq 4 q_{n-1}^{(l+1)}$, it follows that $\left|I_{n}\right| \geq 3 q_{n-1}^{(l+1)}$.
Now we construct an irrational number $\alpha=\left[0 ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right]$ so that

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}, \alpha, \alpha_{l+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}\left(a, S^{\{l\}}\right)
$$

We construct the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ of partial quotients of $\alpha$ inductively. Since $\left|I_{1}\right| \geq$ $3 q_{0}^{(l+1)}=3$, we can choose $a_{1} \in I_{1}$. As $q_{1}=a_{1} \in I_{1}$, we have

$$
4\left(q_{1}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a} \leq q_{1} \leq C\left(q_{1}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a}, A_{1}^{(l+1)} q_{1}^{a} \leq q_{1}^{(l+1)} \leq B_{1}^{(l+1)} q_{1}^{a}
$$

In the $n$-th step suppose that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ are already selected so that

$$
4\left(q_{k}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a} \leq q_{k} \leq C\left(q_{k}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a}, A_{k}^{(l+1)} q_{k}^{a} \leq q_{k}^{(l+1)} \leq B_{k}^{(l+1)} q_{k}^{a}
$$

for $1 \leq k<n$. It follows that

$$
\left|I_{n}\right| \geq 3 q_{n-1}^{(l+1)} \geq 3 q_{n-1}
$$

Therefore there are at least two natural numbers $a_{n}$ such that $a_{n} q_{n-1}+q_{n-2} \in I_{n}$. Thus

$$
4\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a} \leq q_{n} \leq C\left(q_{n}^{(l-1)}\right)^{a}, A_{n}^{(l+1)} q_{n}^{a} \leq q_{n}^{(l+1)} \leq B_{n}^{(l+1)} q_{n}^{a}
$$

It follows that for each $\alpha$ constructed in this way we have

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}, \alpha, \alpha_{l+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}\left(a, S^{\{l\}}\right)
$$

Moreover, there are at least two choices for $a_{n}$ at each step of the construction, so the set $\mathfrak{C}\left(a, S^{\{l\}}\right)$ is uncountable.
Theorem 17. There are uncountably many $\bar{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}(a)$ such that $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}, 1$ are independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. For each $l=1, \ldots, d$ set

$$
\mathbb{Z}^{d, l}=\left\{\bar{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}: m_{l} \neq 0, m_{j}=0 \text { for all } l<j \leq d\right\}
$$

Let $(\bar{\alpha}[l])_{l=0}^{d}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ defined inductively as follows:
(i) $\bar{\alpha}[0] \in \mathfrak{C}(a, \underline{S})$;
(ii) for each $1 \leq l \leq d$ let $\bar{\alpha}[l]$ be an element of $\mathfrak{C}\left(a, \underline{S}^{\{1, \ldots, l\}}\right)$ such that $\bar{\alpha}[l]_{j}=$ $\bar{\alpha}[l-1]_{j}$ for all $j \neq l$ and $\langle\bar{m}, \bar{\alpha}[l]\rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d, l}$.
The existence of $\bar{\alpha}[0]$ follows directly from Lemma 15. The existence of uncountably many $\bar{\alpha}[l]$ follows from Lemma 16 applied to $\bar{\alpha}=\bar{\alpha}[l-1]$ and $S=\underline{S}^{\{1, \ldots, l-1\}}$.

Let $\bar{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right):=\bar{\alpha}[d]$. Then $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}, 1$ are rationally independent. Indeed, let $\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ be a nonzero vector and let $l$ be the largest index for which $m_{l} \neq 0$. Then $\langle\bar{m}, \bar{\alpha}[d]\rangle=\langle\bar{m}, \bar{\alpha}[l]\rangle$. Since $\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d, l}$, we conclude that $\langle\bar{m}, \bar{\alpha}\rangle=$ $\langle\bar{m}, \bar{\alpha}[d]\rangle=\langle\bar{m}, \bar{\alpha}[l]\rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover,

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right)=\bar{\alpha}[d] \in \mathfrak{C}\left(a, \underline{S}^{\{1, \ldots, d\}}\right)=\mathfrak{C}(a) .
$$

At each step of the construction we had uncountably many choices, so the set of constructed vectors $\bar{\alpha}$ is uncountable as well.

## Appendix C. Hölder continuity

Let $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Set $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1\}$. Denote by $\widehat{f}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the continuous function given by $\widehat{f}(z)=z f(\omega)$ whenever $z=|z| e^{2 \pi i \omega}$.

Lemma 18. Suppose $f$ is a $\gamma$-Hölder continuous function $(0<\gamma \leq 1)$ with $\mid f(\omega)-$ $f\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) \mid \leq M\left\|\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right\|^{\gamma}$. Then $\widehat{f}$ is $\gamma$-Hölder continuous and $\left|\widehat{f}(z)-\widehat{f}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 2\left(\|f\|_{C^{0}}+\right.$ $M)\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma}$.
Proof. Set $C=\|f\|_{C^{0}}$. Note that for all $z=|z| e^{2 \pi i \omega}, z^{\prime}=\left|z^{\prime}\right| e^{2 \pi i \omega^{\prime}}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{2} & =|z|^{2}+\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2}-2 \Re z \overline{z^{\prime}}=\left(|z|-\left|z^{\prime}\right|\right)^{2}+2|z|\left|z^{\prime}\right| \Re\left(1-e^{2 \pi i\left(\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right)}\right) \\
& =\left(|z|-\left|z^{\prime}\right|\right)^{2}+4|z|\left|z^{\prime}\right| \sin ^{2}\left(\pi\left\|\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right\|\right) \geq 4|z|\left|z^{\prime}\right| \sin ^{2}\left(\pi\left\|\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right\|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (15), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z-z^{\prime}\right| \geq 4 \sqrt{|z|\left|z^{\prime}\right|}\left\|\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right\| \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $|z| \leq\left|z^{\prime}\right|$, then $|z| \leq \sqrt{|z|\left|z^{\prime}\right|}$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\widehat{f}(z)-\widehat{f}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| & =\left|z f(\omega)-z^{\prime} f\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|\left|f\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\right|+|z|\left|f(\omega)-f\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \leq C\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|+M \sqrt{|z|\left|z^{\prime}\right|}| | \omega-\omega^{\prime} \|^{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $z, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{D}$, we have $\left|z-z^{\prime}\right| \leq 2^{1-\gamma}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma}$ and $\sqrt{|z|\left|z^{\prime}\right|} \leq \sqrt{|z|\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma}}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\widehat{f}(z)-\widehat{f}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| & \leq C 2^{1-\gamma}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma}+M \sqrt{|z|\left|z^{\prime}\right|} \\
& \left\|\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right\|^{\gamma} \\
& \leq\left(2^{1-\gamma} C+M\right)\left(\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|+\sqrt{|z|\left|z^{\prime}\right| \mid} \mid \omega-\omega^{\prime} \|\right)^{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (52), it follows that

$$
\left|\widehat{f}(z)-\widehat{f}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left(2^{1-\gamma} C+M\right) 2^{\gamma}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma} \leq 2(C+M)\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma}
$$

Let $\psi: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Denote by $F: \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the function given by $F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=z_{1} z_{2} \psi(\omega, \theta)$ whenever $z_{1}=\left|z_{1}\right| e^{2 \pi i \omega}, z_{2}=\left|z_{2}\right| e^{2 \pi i \theta}$.
Proposition 19. If $\psi$ is $\gamma$-Hölder continuous for some $0<\gamma \leq 1$ then $F$ is $\gamma$-Hölder continuous.

Proof. Let $C=\|\psi\|_{C^{0}}$ and let $M \geq 0$ be such that $\left|\psi(\omega, \theta)-\psi\left(\omega^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq M(\| \omega-$ $\left.\omega^{\prime}\|+\| \theta-\theta^{\prime} \|\right)^{\gamma}$. If $z_{1}=\left|z_{1}\right| e^{2 \pi i \omega}, z_{2}=\left|z_{2}\right| e^{2 \pi i \theta}, z_{1}^{\prime}=\left|z_{1}^{\prime}\right| e^{2 \pi i \omega^{\prime}}, z_{2}^{\prime}=\left|z_{2}^{\prime}\right| e^{2 \pi i \theta^{\prime}}$ then, by Lemma 18

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|z_{1} \psi\left(\omega, \theta^{\prime}\right)-z_{1}^{\prime} \psi\left(\omega^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 2(C+M)\left|z_{1}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma}, \text { uniformly in } \theta^{\prime} \\
& \left|z_{2} \psi(\omega, \theta)-z_{2}^{\prime} \psi\left(\omega, \theta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 2(C+M)\left|z_{2}-z_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma}, \text { uniformly in } \omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)-F\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|z_{1} z_{2} \psi(\omega, \theta)-z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime} \psi\left(\omega^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|z_{2}^{\prime}\right|\left|z_{1} \psi\left(\omega, \theta^{\prime}\right)-z_{1}^{\prime} \psi\left(\omega^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|z_{1}\right|\left|z_{2} \psi(\omega, \theta)-z_{2}^{\prime} \psi\left(\omega, \theta^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|z_{1} \psi\left(\omega, \theta^{\prime}\right)-z_{1}^{\prime} \psi\left(\omega^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|z_{2} \psi(\omega, \theta)-z_{2}^{\prime} \psi\left(\omega, \theta^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \leq 2(C+M)\left(\left|z_{1}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma}+\left|z_{2}-z_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma}\right) \leq 4(C+M)\left(\left|z_{1}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\left|z_{2}-z_{2}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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